arXiv:1608.02223v2 [math.RT] 2 Sep 2016

ON THE GENERALIZED SPRINGER CORRESPONDENCE

G.Lusztig

Introduction

0.1. Let $\mathbf{k}$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 0$. Let G be a connected reductive group over $\mathbf{k}$. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be the set of all pairs $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{E})$ where $\mathbf{c}$ is a unipotent class in G and $\mathcal{E}$ is an irreducible G-equivariant local system on $\mathbf{c}$ defined up to isomorphism; let $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ be the set of triples $(P, C, \mathcal{S}_0)$ (up to G-conjugacy) where P is a parabolic subgroup of G, C is a unipotent class of the reductive quotient $\bar{P}$ of P and $\mathcal{S}_0$ is an irreducible $\bar{P}$-equivariant cuspidal local system on C. According to [L1, 6.5], there is a canonical surjective map $\mathfrak{A} \to \overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ (whose fibres are called blocks) such that the block corresponding to $(P, C, \mathcal{S}_0) \in \overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ is in natural bijection ("generalized Springer correspondence") with the set $\mathrm{Irr}\mathcal{W}$ of irreducible representations (up to isomorphism) of the finite group $\mathcal{W} := NL/L$ where NL is the normalizer in G of a Levi subgroup L of P. (One can show that $\mathcal{W}$ is naturally a Weyl group depending on the block.) The case considered originally by Springer, see [Sp], with some restrictions on p, involves the block corresponding to $(B, \{1\}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ where B is a Borel subgroup of G. The problem of determining explicitly the generalized Springer correspondence can be reduced to the case where G is almost simple, simply connected. For such G the explicit bijection was determined in [L1], [S2] and the references there, for any block except for

(a) two blocks for G of type E_6 with $p \neq 3$, with $\mathcal{W}$ of type G_2 and

(b) two blocks for G of type E_8 with $p = 3$, with $\mathcal{W}$ of type G_2;

for these blocks the method of [S2] (based mostly on the restriction theorem [L1, 8.3]) had the following gap: it gave the explicit bijection only up to composition with a permutation of $\mathrm{Irr}\mathcal{W}$ which interchanges the two 2-dimensional irreducible representations of $\mathcal{W}$ and keeps fixed all the other irreducible representations.

0.2. Let $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{E}) \in \mathfrak{A}$ and let $(\mathbf{c}', \mathcal{E}') \in \mathfrak{A}$ be such that $\mathbf{c}'$ is contained in the closure $\bar{\mathbf{c}}$ of $\mathbf{c}$. For an integer k let $m^k_{(\mathbf{c}', \mathcal{E}'), (\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{E})}$ be the multiplicity of $\mathcal{E}'$ in the local system on $\mathbf{c}'$ obtained by restricting to $\mathbf{c}'$ the k-th cohomology sheaf of the intersection

Supported in part by the National Science Foundation

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

cohomology complex $IC(\bar{\mathbf{c}},\mathcal{E})$. Let

$$m_{(\mathbf{c}',\mathcal{E}'),(\mathbf{c},\mathcal{E})}=\sum_{k\geq 0}m^k_{(\mathbf{c}',\mathcal{E}'),(\mathbf{c},\mathcal{E})}q^{k/2}\in\mathbf{N}[q^{1/2}]$$

where $q^{1/2}$ is an indeterminate. In [L2,24.8] it is shown (assuming that p is not a bad prime for G) that $m_{(\mathbf{c}',\mathcal{E}'),(\mathbf{c},\mathcal{E})}\in\mathbf{N}[q]$, that $m_{(\mathbf{c}',\mathcal{E}'),(\mathbf{c},\mathcal{E})}$ is 0 if $(\mathbf{c}',\mathcal{E}'),(\mathbf{c},\mathcal{E})$ are not in the same block and that $m_{(\mathbf{c}',\mathcal{E}'),(\mathbf{c},\mathcal{E})}$ is explicitly computable in terms of the generalized Springer correspondence if $(\mathbf{c}',\mathcal{E}'),(\mathbf{c},\mathcal{E})$ are in the same block.

0.3. In this subsection we consider a block of G as in 0.1(a),(b) where p not a bad prime for G; thus we must be in case 0.1(a) and $p\notin\{2,3\}$. An attempt to close the gap in this case was made in [L2, 24.10], based on computing the polynomials $m_{(\mathbf{c}',\mathcal{E}'),(\mathbf{c},\mathcal{E})}$ (see 0.2) for $(\mathbf{c}',\mathcal{E}'),(\mathbf{c},\mathcal{E})$ in this block. Unfortunately, the attempt in [L2] contained a calculation error. (I thank Frank Lübeck for pointing this out to me.) As a result, the gap remained. In this paper we refine the analysis in [L2, 24.10] and close the gap for the blocks in 0.1(a) with $p\notin\{2,3\}$ (see Theorem 5.5). We now describe our strategy. Using the algorithm of [L2, 24.10] (based on the two possible scenarios corresponding to the two possible inputs for the generalized Springer correspondence) we compute the polynomials $m_{(\mathbf{c}',\mathcal{E}'),(\mathbf{c},\mathcal{E})}$ for $(\mathbf{c}',\mathcal{E}'),(\mathbf{c},\mathcal{E})$ in the block. We get two sets of polynomials one in each of the two scenarios. In fact the algorithm gives only rational functions in q which, by a miracle, turn out to be in $\mathbf{N}[q]$ in both scenarios. So by this computation one cannot rule out one of the scenarios and a further argument is needed. Let $u\in G$ be a unipotent element of G such that the Springer fibre at u is 3-dimensional (such u is unique up to conjugacy) and let $\mathbf{X}_u$ be the "generalized Springer fibre" at u (attached to the block). It is known from [L1] that $\mathcal{W}$ acts naturally on $H^j_c(\mathbf{X}_u,?)$ where ? is a suitable local system on $\mathbf{X}_u$ attached to the block. By a known result, from the knowledge of the polynomials $m_{(\mathbf{c}',\mathcal{E}'),(\mathbf{c},\mathcal{E})}$ one can extract the trace of a simple reflection of $\mathcal{W}$ on $\sum_j(-1)^jH^j_c(\mathbf{X}_u,?)$. It turns out that this trace is 1 in one scenario and -1 in the other scenario. We show that this trace is equal to the Euler characteristic of a certain explicit open subvariety of the Springer fibre at u. We then try to compute from first principles this Euler characteristic; the computation occupies most of the paper. The computation does not give the exact value of the Euler characteristic; it only shows that it is one of the numbers $0,1,2$. Since we already know that it is 1 or -1 we deduce that it is 1; moreover, this determines which scenario is real and which one is not.

0.4. Note that Theorem 5.5 completes the explicit determination of the generalized Springer correspondence for any G and any block, assuming that p is not a bad prime for G.

In the case where p is a bad prime for G, a gap remains, and in 6.2 we state a conjecture about it.

0.5. *Notation.* All algebraic varieties are assumed to be over $\mathbf{k}$ and all algebraic groups are assumed to be affine.

For any connected algebraic group H let U_H be the unipotent radical of H and let $\bar{H} = H/U_H$, a connected reductive group; let $\pi_H : H \to \bar{H}$ be the obvious homomophism. Let $\mathcal{Z}^0_{\bar{H}}$ be the connected centre of $\bar{H}$. Let $\mathcal{B}^H$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}^{\bar{H}}$) be the variety of Borel subgroups of H (resp. $\bar{H}$). Note that $\beta \mapsto \pi_H^{-1}(\beta)$ is an isomorphism $\mathcal{B}^{\bar{H}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}^H$. Now H (resp. $\bar{H}$) acts by simultaneous conjugation on $\mathcal{B}^H \times \mathcal{B}^H$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}^{\bar{H}} \times \mathcal{B}^{\bar{H}}$); the orbits of this action are naturally parametrized by the Weyl group W^H (resp. $W^{\bar{H}}$) of H (resp. $\bar{H}$). The identification $\mathcal{B}^{\bar{H}} \times \mathcal{B}^{\bar{H}} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}^H \times \mathcal{B}^H$ via $\pi_H \times \pi_H$, induces an identification $W^H = W^{\bar{H}}$.

If H is a connected reductive group we denote by H_{ad} the adjoint group of H. In this paper G is a fixed connected reductive group. We write $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^G$, $W = W^G$. Let $\mathcal{O}_w$ be the G-orbit on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ indexed by $w \in W$. The simple reflections in W are denoted as $\{s_i; i \in I\}$ where I is an indexing set. For any $J \subset I$ let W_J be the subgroup of W generated by $\{s_i; i \in J\}$. Let $\epsilon_J : W_J \to \{\pm 1\}$ be the homomorphism given by $\epsilon_J(s_i) = -1$ for all $i \in J$. The set $\mathcal{P}$ of parabolic subgroups of G is naturally partitioned as $\mathcal{P} = \sqcup_{J \subset I} \mathcal{P}_J$ where for $J \subset I$, $\mathcal{P}_J$ consists of parabolic subgroups P with the following property: for $w \in W$, we have $(B, B') \in \mathcal{O}_w$ for some some B, B' in $\mathcal{B}$, $B \subset P$, $B' \subset P$ if and only if $w \in W_J$. Note that $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{P}_\emptyset$.

Now let $J \subset I$ and let $P \in \mathcal{P}_J$. Then $\mathcal{B}^P$ is equal to the closed subvariety $\{B \in \mathcal{B}; B \subset P\}$ of $\mathcal{B}$ and the obvious map $W^P \to W$ identifies W^P with the subgroup W_J of W. The set of simple reflections of $W^P = W^{\bar{P}}$ becomes the set $\{s_i; i \in J\}$.

For $i \in I$ we write $\mathcal{P}_i$ instead of $\mathcal{P}_{\{i\}}$ and $\mathcal{P}^i$ instead of $\mathcal{P}_{I-\{i\}}$, a class of maximal parabolic subgroups. For any $B \in \mathcal{B}$ there is a unique $P \in \mathcal{P}^i$ such that $B \subset P$; we set $P = B(i)$. A subvariety of $\mathcal{B}$ is said to be an i-line if it is of the form $\mathcal{B}^P$ for some $P \in \mathcal{P}_i$ (which is necessarily unique). If P, P' are parabolic subgroups of G we write $P \spadesuit P'$ whenever P, P' contain a common Borel subgroup.

Let l be a fixed prime number such that $l \neq p$. All local systems are assumed to be $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$-local systems.

Contents

1. Preliminaries.
2. A trace computation.
3. Computations in certain groups of semisimple rank ≤ 5.
4. Euler characteristic computations.
5. The main result.
6. Final comments.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. We fix a unipotent element $u \in G$; let $\mathcal{B}_u = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; u \in B\}$. This is a nonempty subvariety of $\mathcal{B}$. Let $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_u$ be the set of irreducible components of $\mathcal{B}_u$. According to Spaltenstein [S1], for $X \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_u$, $d_u := \dim X$ depends only on u, not

on X. For any $X \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_u$ let J_X be the set of all $i \in I$ such that X is a union of i-lines. We show:

(a) *Let $J = J_X$, let $B \in X$ and let P be the unique subgroup in $\mathcal{P}_J$ such that $B \subset P$. Then $\mathcal{B}^P \subset X$.*

Let $B' \in X$. We can find a sequence $B = B_0, B_1, \dots, B_t = B'$ in $\mathcal{B}$ such that for $k = 0, 1, \dots, t-1$ we have $(B_k, B_{k+1}) \in \mathcal{O}_{s_{i_k}}$ where $i_k \in J$. We show by induction on k that $B_k \in X$. For $k = 0$ this holds by assumption. Assume now that $k \geq 1$. By the induction hypothesis we have $B_{k-1} \in X$. Since $i_k \in J_X$, the i_k-line containing B_{k-1} is contained in X. Since B_k is contained in this i_k-line we have $B_k \in X$. This completes the induction. We see that $B' \in X$. This proves (a).

We show:

(b) *In the setup of (a), assume in addition that d_u is equal to ν_J, the number of reflections in W_J. Then $\mathcal{B}^P = X$.*

Note that $\mathcal{B}^P$ is a closed irreducible subvariety of dimension ν_J of X and X is irreducible of dimension d_u. The result follows.

1.2. In this subsection we assume that $G_{ad} = \prod_{i\in I]}^m G_i$ where $G_i \cong PGL_2(\mathbf{k})$ for all $i \in I$. Assume that $B \in \mathcal{B}_u$ is such that for any $i \in I$, the i-line through B is contained in $\mathcal{B}_u$. We show that $u = 1$. We write $u = (u_i)$ where $u_i \in G_i$ for all i. Let $K = \{i \in I; u_i = 1\}$. Then $\mathcal{B}_u$ is a product of copies of $\mathbf{P}^1$ indexed by K. Moreover, $\mathcal{B}_u$ contains an i-line if and only if $i \in K$. Our assumption implies that $K = I$ hence $u = 1$, as asserted.

1.3. We shall need the following variant of 1.1(a),(b).

(a) *Let J be a subset of I such that $s_j s_{j'} = s_{j'} s_j$ for all j, j' in J. Let $B \in \mathcal{B}_u$ be such that for any $j \in J$ the j-line containing B is contained in $\mathcal{B}_u$. Let P be the unique subgroup in $\mathcal{P}_J$ such that $B \subset P$. Assume that $\sharp(J) = d_u$. Then $X = \mathcal{B}^P$ is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{B}_u$ and $J_X = J$.*

We apply 1.2 with G, u replaced by $\bar{P}, \pi_P(u)$. Note that $\bar{P}_{ad}$ is a product of copies of $PGL_2(\mathbf{k})$. We see that $\pi_P(u) = 1$ that is, $u \in U_P$. Then any Borel subgroup of P contains u. Now (a) follows.

1.4. Let $[\underline{\mathcal{B}}_u]$ be the $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$-vector space with basis $\{X; X \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_u\}$. Let ρ_u be the Springer representation of W on the vector space $[\underline{\mathcal{B}}_u]$. The following property of ρ_u appeared in a letter of the author to Springer (March 1978), see also [Ho]:

(a) *Let $i \in I$ and let $X \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_u$. Then*

$s_i X = -X$ if $i \in J_X$;

$s_i X - X \in \sum_{X' \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_u; i \in J_{X'}} \mathbf{Z} X'$ if $i \notin J_X$.

For $J \subset I$ let $(\underline{\mathcal{B}}_u)'_J = \{X \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_u; J \subset J_X\}$ and let $[(\underline{\mathcal{B}}_u)'_J]$ be the subspace of $[\underline{\mathcal{B}}_u]$ spanned by $(\underline{\mathcal{B}}_u)'_J$. We have $(\underline{\mathcal{B}}_u)'_J = \cap_{i\in J} (\underline{\mathcal{B}}_u)'_{\{i\}}$ and $[(\underline{\mathcal{B}}_u)'_J] = \cap_{i\in J} [(\underline{\mathcal{B}}_u)'_{\{i\}}]$. From (a) we see that for any $i \in J$, we have $[(\underline{\mathcal{B}}_u)'_{\{i\}}] = \{v \in [\underline{\mathcal{B}}_u]; s_i v = -v\}$. Hence $[(\underline{\mathcal{B}}_u)'_J] = \cap_{i\in J} \{v \in [\underline{\mathcal{B}}_u]; s_i v = -v\} = \{v \in [\underline{\mathcal{B}}_u]; wv = \epsilon_J(w) v \forall w \in W_J v\}$. Thus, $\sharp(\underline{\mathcal{B}}_u)'_J$ is equal to the multiplicity $(\epsilon_J : \rho_u|_{W_J})$ of ϵ_J in the W_J-module

$\rho_u|_{W_J}$. Let $(\underline{\mathcal{B}}_u)_J = \{X \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_u; J = J_X\}$ We have $\sharp\underline{\mathcal{B}}_u)'_J = \sum_{J';J\subset J'\subset I} \sharp(\underline{\mathcal{B}}_u)_{J'}$. Hence $\sharp\underline{\mathcal{B}}_u)_J = \sum_{J';J\subset J'\subset I}(-1)^{|J'|-|J|}\sharp\underline{\mathcal{B}}_u)'_{J'}$ so that

(b) $\sharp(\underline{\mathcal{B}}_u)_J = \sum_{J';J\subset J'\subset I}(-1)^{|J'|-|J|}(\epsilon_{J'} : \rho_u|_{W_{J'}})$.

1.5. In this subsection we assume that we are given $J \subset I$, $P \in \mathcal{P}_J$ and $\bar{u} \in \bar{P}$ such that $u \in P$, $\bar{u} = \pi_P(u)$ and such that, if C is the conjugacy class of u in G then

(a) $C \cap \pi_P^{-1}(\bar{u})$ is open dense in $\pi_P^{-1}(\bar{u})$.

Then C is induced (in the sense of [LS]) by the $\bar{P}$-conjugacy class of $\bar{u}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}^P, \mathcal{B}^{\bar{P}}$ be as in 0.4 and let $\mathcal{B}^{\bar{P}}_{\bar{u}} = \{\beta \in \mathcal{B}^{\bar{P}}; \bar{u} \in \beta\}$. Let $d_{\bar{u}} = \dim \mathcal{B}^{\bar{P}}_{\bar{u}}$. From [LS] it is known that

(b) $d_u = d_{\bar{u}}$.

For any irreducible component ξ of $\mathcal{B}^{\bar{P}}_{\bar{u}}$, the subset J_ξ of J is defined as in 1.1 (replacing G, I, u by $\bar{P}, J, \bar{u}$). Let $\tilde{\xi}$ be the image of ξ under $\beta \mapsto \pi_P^{-1}(\beta)$; note that $\tilde{\xi}$ is a closed irreducible subvariety of $\mathcal{B}_u$ of dimension $d_{\bar{u}}$ hence by (b) is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{B}_u$. From the definitions we see that

(c) $J_\xi = J_{\tilde{\xi}}$ where $J_{\tilde{\xi}}$ is defined as in 1.1.

1.6. Let $i \in I$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{u,i}$ be the set of all $B \in X$ such that the i-line though B is contained in $\mathcal{B}_u$ or equivalently such that if $P_i \in \mathcal{P}_i$ is defined by $B \subset P_i$ then $u \in U_{P_i}$.

For $X \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_u$ let $X_i = X \cap \mathcal{B}_{u,i}$.

2. A trace computation

2.1. We fix $J \subset I$ such that $J \neq I$ and $P_J \in \mathcal{P}_J$. We write π_J instead of π_{P_J}. We fix a unipotent conjugacy class C of $\bar{P}_J$ and let $\mathcal{S}_0$ be an irreducible cuspidal $\bar{P}_J$-equivariant local system on C. Let $\mathfrak{S} = C\mathcal{Z}^0_{\bar{P}_J}$, a locally closed subvariety of $\bar{P}_J$; let $cl(\mathfrak{S})$ be the closure of $\mathfrak{S}$ in $\bar{P}_J$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the inverse image of $\mathcal{S}_0$ under $\mathfrak{S} \to C$ (taking unipotent part). Let $\mathcal{W} = N_W W_J/W_J$ where $N_W W_J$ is the normalizer of W_J in W. For any $i \in I - J$ let $N_{W_{J\cup i}} W_J$ be the normalizer of W_J in $W_{J\cup i}$. From [L1, 9.2] we see that $N_{W_{J\cup i}} W_J/W_J$ has order 2 and that $\mathcal{W}$ is a Coxeter group with simple reflections $\{\sigma_i; i \in I - J\}$ where σ_i is the unique nonidentity element of $N_{W_{J\cup i}} W_J/W_J$, viewed as an element of $\mathcal{W}$. Let

$$\mathbf{X} = \{(xP_J, g) \in G/P_J \times G; x^{-1}gx \in P_J, \pi_J(x^{-1}gx) \in \mathfrak{S}\}.$$

Let $\overline{\mathbf{Y}}$ be the set of all $g \in G$ such that for some $xP_J \in G/P_J$ we have $x^{-1}gx \in P_J$, $\pi_J(\xi^{-1}gx) \in cl(\mathfrak{S})$; this is a closed subset of G. Define $\tilde{\pi} : \mathbf{X} \to \overline{\mathbf{Y}}$ by $\tilde{\pi}(xP_J, g) = g$. We define a local system $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ on $\mathbf{X}$ by requiring that $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{(xP_J,g)} = \mathcal{S}_{\pi_J(x^{-1}gx)}$. Note that $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ is well defined by the $\bar{P}_J$-equivariance of $\mathcal{S}_0$. Let $K = \tilde{\pi}_!\hat{\mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathbf{Y}})$. From [L1, 4.5, 9.2] we see that K is an intersection cohomology complex on $\overline{\mathbf{Y}}$ and that we have canonically $\mathrm{End}(K) = \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[\mathcal{W}]$. Let $g \in \overline{\mathbf{Y}}$ and let

$$\mathbf{X}_g = \{xP_J \in G/P_J; x^{-1}gx \in P_J, \pi_J(x^{-1}gx) \in \mathfrak{S}\}.$$

Now $\mathbf{X}_g$ is a subvariety of $\mathbf{X}$ via $xP_J \mapsto (xP_J, g)$. We denote the restriction of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ from $\mathbf{X}$ to $\mathbf{X}_g$ again by $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$. Since $H_c^j(\mathbf{X}_g, \hat{\mathcal{S}})$ (for $j \in \mathbf{Z}$) is a stalk of a cohomology sheaf of K at g, we see that $H_c^j(\mathbf{X}_g, \hat{\mathcal{S}})$ is naturally a $\mathcal{W}$-module.

We now fix a subset J' of I such that $J \subset J'$. We define $P_{J'} \in \mathcal{P}_{J'}$ by $P_J \subset P_{J'}$. We write $U_{J'}, \pi_{J'}$ instead of $U_{P_{J'}}, \pi_{P_{J'}}$. We shall give an alternative description of the restriction of the $\mathcal{W}$-module $H_c^j(\mathbf{X}_g, \hat{\mathcal{S}})$ to the subgroup $\mathcal{W}'$ of $\mathcal{W}$ generated by $\{\sigma_i; i \in J' - J\}$.

We have a commutative diagram of algebraic varieties with cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\tilde{M}_{J',g} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}} & \tilde{M}_{J'} & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\omega}} & E \times X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{q}} & X \\
{\scriptstyle \pi''}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \pi'}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle 1\times\pi}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \pi}\downarrow \\
M_{J',g} & \xrightarrow{j} & M_{J'} & \xleftarrow{\omega} & E \times \bar{Y} & \xrightarrow{q} & \bar{Y}
\end{array}$$

where

$$X = \{(xP_J, zU_{J'}) \in (P_{J'}/P_J) \times (P_{J'}/U_{J'}); x^{-1}zx \in P_{\mathbf{J}}, \pi_J(x^{-1}zx) \in \mathfrak{S}\};$$

$\bar{Y}$ is the set of all $zU_{J'} \in P_{J'}/U_{J'}$ such that for some $xP_J \in P_{J'}/P_J$, we have $x^{-1}zx \in P_J$, $\pi_J(x^{-1}zx) \in cl(\mathfrak{S})$;

$\tilde{M}_{J'}$ is the set of all pairs $(xP_J, y(xU_{J'}x^{-1}))$ where $xP_J \in G/P_J$, $y(xU_{J'}x^{-1}) \in (xP_Jx^{-1})/(xU_{J'}x^{-1})$ are such that $\pi_J(x^{-1}yx) \in \mathfrak{S}$;

$M_{J'}$ is the set of all pairs $(xP_{J'}, y(xU_{J'}x^{-1}))$ where $xP_{J'} \in G/P_{J'}$, $y(xU_{J'}x^{-1}) \in (xP_{J'}x^{-1})/(xU_{J'}x^{-1})$ are such that for some $v \in P_{J'}$, we have $y \in xvP_Jv^{-1}x^{-1}$ and $\pi_J(v^{-1}x^{-1}yxv) \in cl(\mathfrak{S})$;

$$\tilde{M}_{J',g} = \{(xP_J, y(xU_{J'}x^{-1})) \in \tilde{M}_{J'}; g \in xP_Jx^{-1}, g^{-1}y \in xU_{J'}x^{-1}\};$$

$$M_{J',g} = \{(xP_{J'}, y(xU_{J'}x^{-1})) \in M_{J'}; g \in xP_{J'}x^{-1}, g^{-1}y \in xU_{J'}x^{-1}\};$$

$$E = G/U_{J'};$$

$j, \tilde{j}$ are the obvious imbeddings; $q, \tilde{q}$ are the obvious projections;

$$\omega(hU_{J'}, zU_{J'}) = (hP_{J'}, (hzh^{-1})(hU_{J'}h^{-1})),$$

$$\tilde{\omega}(hU_{J'}, xP_J, zU_{J'}) = (hxP_J, (hzh^{-1})(hxU_{J'}x^{-1}h^{-1}));$$

$$\pi(xP_J, zU_{J'}) = zU_{J'}, \pi'(xP_J, y(xU_{J'}x^{-1})) = (xP_{J'}, y(xU_{J'}x^{-1})),$$

$$\pi''(xP_J, y(xU_{J'}x^{-1})) = (xP_{J'}, y(xU_{J'}x^{-1})).$$

All maps in the diagram are compatible with the natural actions of $\bar{P}_{J'}$ where the action of $\bar{P}_{J'}$ on the four spaces on the left is trivial. Moreover, $\tilde{\omega}$ and ω are principal $\bar{P}_{J'}$-bundles. We define a local system $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ on X by requiring

that $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{(xP_J,zU_{J'})} = \mathcal{S}_{\pi_J(x^{-1}zx)}$. We define a local system $\dot{\mathcal{S}}$ on $\tilde{M}_{J'}$ by requiring that $\dot{\mathcal{S}}_{(xP_J,y(xU_{J'}x^{-1}))} = \mathcal{S}_{\pi_J(x^{-1}yx)}$. Note that $\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \dot{\mathcal{S}}$ are well defined by the $\bar{P}_J$-equivariance of $\mathcal{S}_0$. Note also that $K' := \pi_!\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ is like K above (with G replaced by $\bar{P}_{J'}$) hence from [L1, 4.5, 9.2] we see that K' is an intersection cohomology sheaf on $\bar{Y}$ and we have canonically $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y})}(K') = \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\bar{P}_{J'}}(\bar{Y})}(K') = \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[\mathcal{W}']$.

We have an isomorphism $\mathbf{X}_g \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{M}_{J',g}$ given by $xP_J \mapsto (xP_J, g(xU_{J'}x^{-1}))$, under which these two varieties are identified; then the local system $\tilde{j}^*\dot{\mathcal{S}}$ on $\tilde{M}_{J',g}$ becomes $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$. We have $\tilde{q}^*\bar{\mathcal{S}} = \tilde{\omega}^*\dot{\mathcal{S}}$ hence $q^*\pi_!\bar{\mathcal{S}} = \omega^*(\pi'_!\dot{\mathcal{S}})$. The functors

$$\mathcal{D}_{\bar{P}_{J'}}(\bar{Y}) \xrightarrow{q^*} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{P}_{J'}}(E \times \bar{Y}) \xleftarrow{\omega^*} \mathcal{D}(M_{J'}) \xrightarrow{j^*} \mathcal{D}(M_{J',g})$$

induce algebra homomorphisms

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\bar{P}_{J'}}(\bar{Y})}(\pi_!\bar{\mathcal{S}}) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\bar{P}_{J'}}(E\times\bar{Y})}(q^*\pi_!\bar{\mathcal{S}}) \leftarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(M_{J'})}(\pi'_!\dot{\mathcal{S}})$$
$$\to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(M_{J',g})}(\pi''_!\tilde{j}^*\dot{\mathcal{S}})$$

of which the second one is an isomorphism since ω is a principal $\bar{P}_{J'}$-bundle. Taking the composition of the first homomorphism with the inverse of the second one and with the third one and identifying

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\bar{P}_{J'}}(\bar{Y})}(\pi_!\bar{\mathcal{S}}) = \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y})}(\pi_!\bar{\mathcal{S}}) = \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[\mathcal{W}']$$

we obtain an algebra homomorphism

$$\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[\mathcal{W}'] \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(M_{J',g})}(\pi''_!\tilde{j}^*\dot{\mathcal{S}}).$$

It follows that

$$H_c^j(\mathbf{X}_g, \hat{\mathcal{S}}) = H_c^j(\tilde{M}_{J',g}, \tilde{j}^*\dot{\mathcal{S}}) = H_c^j(M_{J',g}, \pi''_!\tilde{j}^*\dot{\mathcal{S}})$$

is naturally a module over the algebra $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(M_{J',g})}(\pi''_!\tilde{j}^*\dot{\mathcal{S}})$ hence a module over $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[\mathcal{W}']$. From the definitions we see that this $\mathcal{W}'$-module structure on $H_c^j(\mathbf{X}_g, \hat{\mathcal{S}})$ coincides with restriction to $\mathcal{W}'$ of the $\mathcal{W}$-module structure on $H_c^j(\mathbf{X}_g, \hat{\mathcal{S}})$ considered above.

2.2. We now assume that $i \in I - J$ and that $J' = J \cup \{i\}$. Let

$$t_i(g) = \sum_j (-1)^j \mathrm{tr}(\sigma_i, H_c^j(\mathbf{X}_g, \hat{\mathcal{S}}))$$

where σ_i acts by the $\mathcal{W}$-action. By 2.1, we have

$$t_i(g) = \sum_j (-1)^j \mathrm{tr}(\sigma_i, H_c^j(M_{J',g}, \pi''_!\tilde{j}^*\dot{\mathcal{S}}))$$

where σ_i acts by the $\mathcal{W}'$-action. The σ_i action on $\pi_!\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ induce an σ_i-action on $\mathcal{H}^{j'}(\pi_!\bar{\mathcal{S}})$ and this induces an σ_i-action on $\mathcal{H}^{j'}(\pi_!\bar{\mathcal{S}})$ and an σ_i-action on

$$H_c^j(M_{J',g}, \mathcal{H}^{j'}(\pi''_!\tilde{j}^*\dot{\mathcal{S}})).$$

(Here $\mathcal{H}^{j'}()$ denotes the j'-th cohomology sheaf). We have a spectral sequence

$$H_c^j(M_{J',g}, \mathcal{H}^{j'}(\pi''_!\tilde{j}^*\dot{\mathcal{S}})) \implies H_c^{j+j'}(M_{J',g}, \pi''_!\tilde{j}^*\dot{\mathcal{S}})$$

which is compatible with the σ_i-actions. It follows that

$$\text{(a)} \qquad t_i(g) = \sum_{j,j'} (-1)^{j+j'} \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_i, H_c^j(M_{J',g}, \mathcal{H}^{j'}(\pi''_!\tilde{j}^*\dot{\mathcal{S}}))).$$

We now make the further assumption that g is unipotent and that s_i commutes with W_J. In this case we have

$$\mathbf{X}_g = \{xP_J \in G/P_J; x^{-1}gx \in P_J, \pi_J(x^{-1}gx) \in C\};$$

moreover, the isomorphism $W_{\{i\}} \times W_J \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{J'}$ induced by multiplication corresponds to a direct product decomposition $(\bar{P}_{J'})_{ad} = H' \times H''$ where $H' \cong PGL_2(\mathbf{k})$, $H'' \cong (\bar{P}_J)_{ad}$.

Let $\bar{C}$ be the image of C under $\bar{P}_J \to (\bar{P}_J)_{ad} = H''$. Let C^1 be the unipotent class in $\bar{P}_{J'}$ whose image in $(\bar{P}_{J'})_{ad} = H' \times H''$ is $\{1\} \times \bar{C}$. Let C^r be the unipotent class in $\bar{P}_{J'}$ whose image in $(\bar{P}_{J'})_{ad} = H' \times H''$ is (regular unipotent class in $H') \times \bar{C}$. Then $C^1 \cup C^r$ is exactly the set of unipotent elements in the image of $\pi : X \to \bar{Y}$. Now $\pi : X \to \bar{Y}$ restricts to $\pi^{-1}(C^1) \to C^1$ which is a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle and to $\pi^{-1}(C^r) \to C^r$ which is an isomorphism. There is a well defined local system $\mathcal{S}_1$ on C^1 whose inverse image under $\pi^{-1}(C^1) \to C^1$ is the restriction of $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ to $\pi^{-1}(C^1)$. There is a well defined local system $\mathcal{S}_r$ on C^r whose inverse image under $\pi^{-1}(C^r) \to C^r$ is the restriction of $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ to $\pi^{-1}(C^r)$. Let

$$M_{J',g,1} = \{(xP_{J'}, y(xU_{J'}x^{-1})) \in M_{J',g}; \pi_{J'}(x^{-1}gx) \in C^1\},$$
$$M_{J',g,r} = \{(xP_{J'}, y(xU_{J'}x^{-1})) \in M_{J',g}; \pi_{J'}(x^{-1}gx) \in C^r\}.$$

Using the cartesian squares in 2.1 we see that $\pi'' : \tilde{M}_{J',g} \to M_{J',g}$ restricts to $\pi''_1 : \pi''^{-1}(M_{J',g,1}) \to M_{J',g,1}$ which is a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle and to $\pi''_r : \pi''^{-1}(M_{J',g,r}) \to M_{J',g,r}$ which is an isomorphism. Moreover there is a well defined local system $\mathcal{S}_1$ on $M_{J',g,1}$ such that $\pi''^*_1\mathcal{S}_1$ is the restriction $\dot{\mathcal{S}}_1$ of $\tilde{j}^*\dot{\mathcal{S}}$ to $\pi''^{-1}(M_{J',g,1})$; there is a well defined local system $\mathcal{S}_r$ on $M_{J',g,r}$ such that $\pi''^*_r\mathcal{S}_r$ is the restriction $\dot{\mathcal{S}}_r$ of $\tilde{j}^*\dot{\mathcal{S}}$ to $\pi''^{-1}(M_{J',g,r})$. Since $M_{J',g} = M_{J',g,1} \cup M_{J',g,r}$ is a partition and $M_{J',g,1}$ (resp. $M_{J',g,r}$) is closed (resp. open) in $M_{J',g}$ we see that (a) implies

$$t_i(g) = \sum_{j,j'} (-1)^{j+j'} \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_i, H_c^j(M_{J',g,1}, \mathcal{H}^{j'}(\pi''_{1!}\dot{\mathcal{S}}_1)))$$
$$+ \sum_{j,j'} (-1)^{j+j'} \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_i, H_c^j(M_{J',g,r}, \mathcal{H}^{j'}(\pi''_{r!}\dot{\mathcal{S}}_r))).$$

In the first sum over j, j' we can assume that $j' \in \{0, 2\}$; the σ_i-action is multiplication by -1 if $j' = 2$ and by 1 if $j' = 0$; in the second sum over j, j' we can assume that $j' = 0$; moreover the σ_i-action is multiplication by 1. (Here we use the definition of the σ_i action on K'.) Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_i(g) &= -\sum_j (-1)^j \dim H_c^j(M_{J',g,1}, \mathcal{H}^2(\pi''_{1!}\dot{\mathcal{S}}_1))) \\ &+ \sum_j (-1)^j \dim H_c^j(M_{J',g,1}, \mathcal{H}^0(\pi''_{1!}\dot{\mathcal{S}}_1))) + \sum_j (-1)^j \dim H_c^j(M_{J',g,r}, \mathcal{H}^0(\pi''_{r!}\dot{\mathcal{S}}_r))) \\ &= -\sum_j (-1)^j \dim H_c^j(M_{J',g,1}, \mathcal{S}_1(-2)) + \sum_j (-1)^j \dim H_c^j(M_{J',g,1}, \mathcal{S}_1) \\ &+ \sum_j (-1)^j \dim H_c^j(M_{J',g,r}, \mathcal{S}_r). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the Tate twist does not affect the dimension; hence after cancellation we obtain

$$t_i(g) = \sum_j (-1)^j \dim H_c^j(M_{J',g,r}, \mathcal{S}_r) = \sum_j (-1)^j \dim H_c^j(\pi''^{-1}(M_{J',g,r}), \dot{\mathcal{S}}_r)$$

that is,

$$t_i(g) = \chi(\pi''^{-1}(M_{J',g,r}), \dot{\mathcal{S}}_r), \tag{b}$$

where, for an algebraic variety X and a local system $\mathcal{E}$ on X we set $\chi(X, \mathcal{E}) = \sum_j (-1)^j \dim H_c^j(X, \mathcal{E})$. (We also set $\chi(X) = \chi(X, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$.)

3. Computations in certain groups of semisimple rank ≤ 5

3.1. Until the end of 3.14 we assume that G_{ad} is of type D_4 and that $u \in G$ (see 1.1) is such that $d_u = 3$. Note that u is unique up to conjugation. We can write $I = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega\}$ where the numbering is chosen so that each of $s_\alpha s_\omega, s_\beta s_\omega, s_\gamma s_\omega$ has order 3.

The Springer representation ρ_u is a sum of two irreducible representations of W: one eight dimensional and one six dimensional. Using 1.4(b) we see that there is a unique $S \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_u$ such that $J_S = \{\omega\}$, a unique $\hat{S} \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_u$ such that $J_{\hat{S}} = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$, exactly two irreducible components $S_{\beta\gamma'}, S_{\beta'\gamma}$ of $\mathcal{B}_u$ such that $J_{S_{\beta\gamma'}} = J_{S_{\beta'\gamma}} = \{\alpha, \omega\}$, exactly two irreducible components $S_{\alpha\gamma}, S_{\alpha'\gamma'}$ of $\mathcal{B}_u$ such that $J_{S_{\alpha'\gamma'}} = J_{S_{\alpha'\gamma'}} = \{\beta, \omega\}$ and exactly two irreducible components $S_{\alpha\beta}, S_{\alpha'\beta'}$ of $\mathcal{B}_u$ such that $J_{S_{\alpha\beta}} = J_{S_{\alpha'\beta'}} = \{\gamma, \omega\}$.

For any $X \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_u$ such that $\omega \in J_X$ and any $i \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ we set

$$X_i^* = \{B \in X;\ \text{any } B' \text{ on the same } \omega\text{-line as } B \text{ is in } X_i\}.$$

We will show:

(a) *there are well defined parabolic subgroups $P^\alpha \neq \tilde{P}^\alpha$ in $\mathcal{P}^\alpha$, $P^\beta \neq \tilde{P}^\beta$ in $\mathcal{P}^\beta$, $P^\gamma \neq \tilde{P}^\gamma$ in $\mathcal{P}^\gamma$ and P^ω in $\mathcal{P}^\omega$ such that*

$$P^\alpha \spadesuit P^\omega, \tilde{P}^\alpha \spadesuit P^\omega, P^\beta \spadesuit P^\omega, \tilde{P}^\beta \spadesuit P^\omega, P^\gamma \spadesuit P^\omega, \tilde{P}^\gamma \spadesuit P^\omega,$$

$$\hat{S} = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(\omega) = P^\omega\},$$
$$S_{\beta\gamma'} = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(\beta) = P^\beta, B(\gamma) = \tilde{P}^\gamma\},$$
$$S_{\beta'\gamma} = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(\beta) = \tilde{P}^\beta, B(\gamma) = P^\gamma\},$$
$$S_{\alpha\gamma} = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(\alpha) = P^\alpha, B(\gamma) = P^\gamma\},$$
$$S_{\alpha'\gamma'} = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(\alpha) = \tilde{P}^\alpha, B(\gamma) = \tilde{P}^\gamma\},$$
$$S_{\alpha\beta} = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(\alpha) = P^\alpha, B(\beta) = P^\beta\},$$
$$S_{\alpha'\beta'} = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(\alpha) = \tilde{P}^\alpha, B(\beta) = \tilde{P}^\beta\},$$

$$S^*_\alpha = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(\beta) = P^\beta, B(\gamma) = \tilde{P}^\gamma, B(\alpha) \spadesuit P^\omega\}$$
$$\sqcup \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(\beta) = \tilde{P}^\beta, B(\gamma) = P^\gamma, B(\alpha) \spadesuit P^\omega\},$$

$$S^*_\beta = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(\alpha) = P^\alpha, B(\gamma) = P^\gamma, B(\beta) \spadesuit P^\omega\}$$
$$\sqcup \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(\alpha) = \tilde{P}^\alpha, B(\gamma) \tilde{P}^\gamma, B(\beta) \spadesuit P^\omega\},$$

$$S^*_\gamma = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(\alpha) = P^\alpha, B(\beta) = P^\beta, B(\gamma) \spadesuit P^\omega\}$$
$$\sqcup \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(\alpha) = \tilde{P}^\alpha, B(\beta) = \tilde{P}^\beta, B(\gamma) \spadesuit P^\omega\}.$$

(b) *Let $Y = \{B \in S; B(\omega) = P^\omega\}$. Then $Y \subset S_\alpha \cap S_\beta \cap S_\gamma$. Moreover, Y meets any ω-line in S in exactly one point.*

From (b) we deduce:

(c) *For $i \in \{a, \beta, \gamma\}$ we have $S_i = S^*_i \cup Y$.*

The inclusion $S^*_i \cup Y \subset S_i$ is clear. Conversely, let $B \in S_i$ be such that $B \notin Y$. Let L_B be the i-line containing B; we have $L_B \subset \mathcal{B}_u$. Let L be the ω-line containing B. We have $L \subset S$ hence $L \subset \mathcal{B}_u$. By (b) there exists $B' \in L$ such that $B' \in Y$; in particular we have $B' \in S_i$. Let $L_{B'}$ be the i-line containing B'; we have $L_{B'} \subset \mathcal{B}_u$. We define $\pi \in \mathcal{P}^{i,\omega}$ by the condition that $B \subset \pi$. Now $\mathcal{B}^\pi$ is naturally imbedded in $\mathcal{B}$; it is a flag manifold of type A_2 since $(s_\omega s_i)^3 = 1$. Note that $\bar{\pi}$ is a connected reductive group of type A_2 and $\mathcal{B}^\pi$ can be viewed as the flag manifold of $\bar{\pi}$. Moreover we have $L \subset \mathcal{B}^\pi, L_B \subset \mathcal{B}^\pi, L_{B'} \subset \mathcal{B}^\pi$. Since $u \in B$ we have $u \in \pi$ hence $Ad(u) : \mathcal{B}^\pi \to \mathcal{B}^\pi$ is well defined and its fixed point set contains $L \cup L_B \cup L_{B'}$.

But a unipotent element in $PGL_3(\mathbf{k})$ whose fixed point set of the flag manifold contains three distinct lines must be the identity element. In particular, for any $B'' \in L$, $\mathrm{Ad}(u)$ acts as identity on the i-line through B''; thus the i-line through B'' is contained in $\mathcal{B}_u$. In other words we have $B \in S_i^*$. Thus we have $S_i \subset S_i^* \cup Y$. This proves (c) (assuming (b)).

We now deduce from (a),(b) the following statement.

(d) $$Y = S_\alpha \cap S_\beta \cap S_\gamma.$$

Assume that $B \in S_\alpha \cap S_\beta \cap S_\gamma$ and $B \notin Y$. Using (c) we deduce $B \in (S_\alpha^* \cup Y) \cap (S_\beta^* \cup Y) \cap (S_\gamma^* \cup Y)$. Since $B \notin Y$ we deduce that $B \in S_\alpha^* \cap S_\beta^* \cap S_\gamma^*$. But from (a) we see that

(e) $$S_\alpha^* \cap S_\beta^* \cap S_\gamma^* = \emptyset.$$

This contradiction shows that $S_\alpha \cap S_\beta \cap S_\gamma \subset Y$. The opposite inclusion is known from (b). This proves (d).

We now show, assuming (a):

(e) *$\hat{S} \cap S_{\beta\gamma'}$ is a single α-line; $\hat{S} \cap S_{\beta'\gamma}$ is a single α-line.*

From (a) we have

$$\hat{S} \cap S_{\beta\gamma'} = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(\omega) = P^\omega, B(\beta) = P^\beta, B(\gamma) = \tilde{P}^\gamma\}$$
$$= \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B \subset P^\omega, B \subset P^\beta, B \subset \tilde{P}^\gamma\} = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B \subset P^\omega \cap P^\beta \cap \tilde{P}^\gamma\}.$$

Since $P^\beta \spadesuit P^\omega, \tilde{P}^\gamma \spadesuit P^\omega$ and $s_\beta s_\gamma = s_\gamma s_b$, the intersection $P^\omega \cap P^\beta \cap \tilde{P}^\gamma$ is a parabolic subgroup in $\mathcal{P}^\alpha$. This proves the first assertion of (e); the second assertion of (e) is proved in the same way.

3.2. To prove 3.1(a),(b) for G is the same as proving them for G_{ad}. Hence we can assume that G is the special orthogonal group associated to an 8-dimensional $\mathbf{k}$-vector space V with a given nondegenerate quadratic form $Q : V \to \mathbf{k}$ and associate symmetric bilinear form $(,) : V \times V \to \mathbf{k}$. Until the end of 3.14 we shall adhere to this assumption.

Now, $A := u - 1 : V \to V$ is nilpotent with Jordan blocks of sizes $3, 3, 1, 1$. More precisely, we can find a basis $\{e_i, e_i'; i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}\}$ of V such that

$Q(e_i) = Q(e_i') = 0$ for $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$;

$(e_i, e_j) = (e_i', e_j') = 0$ for all i, j;

$(e_i, e_j') = 1$ if $i \neq j$ are both odd or if $i = j$ are both even;

$(e_i, e_j') = 0$ otherwise

and such that

$Ae_0 = 0, Ae_0' = 0$,

$Ae_1 = e_2 + xe_3, Ae_2 = e_3, Ae_3 = 0, Ae_1' = -e_2' + x'e_3', Ae_2' = -e_3', Ae_3' = 0.$

where $x, x' \in \mathbf{k}$ satisfy $x + x' = 1$. A subspace U of V is said to be isotropic if $Q|_U = 0$. Let ${}^!L_4 = \mathrm{span}(e_3, e_3', e_0, e_2)$, ${}^!L_4' = \mathrm{span}(e_3, e_3', e_0', e_2')$. $L_4^! =$

$\text{span}(e_3, e'_3, e'_0, e_2)$, $L'^!_4 = \text{span}(e_3, e'_3, e_0, e'_2)$. These subspaces are isotropic; in 3.4 we will show that they are intrinsic to u; they do not depend on the specific basis used to define u. We identify $\mathcal{P}^\alpha$ with the variety of all isotropic lines in V; $\mathcal{P}^\omega$ with the variety of all isotropic planes in V; $\mathcal{P}^\beta$ with the variety of all isotropic 4-spaces in V in the G-orbit of ${}^!L_4$ and ${}^!L'_4$; $\mathcal{P}^\gamma$ with the variety of all isotropic 4-spaces in V in the G-orbit of $L^!_4$ and $L'^!_4$. (In each case the identification attaches to an isotropic subspace its stabilizer in G.) We identify $\mathcal{B}$ with the variety of all sequences $(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in \mathcal{P}^\alpha \times \mathcal{P}^\omega \times \mathcal{P}^\beta \times \mathcal{P}^\gamma$ such that $V_1 \subset V_2 \subset V_4, V_2 \subset \tilde{V}_4$. (Such a sequence is identified with its stabilizer $\{g \in G; gV_1k = V_1, gV_2 = V_2, gV_4 = V_4, g\tilde{V}_4 = \tilde{V}_4\}$.)

We consider the following subspaces of V:

$I_2 = A^2V = \text{span}(e_3, e'_3)$, $I_4 = AV = \text{span}(e_2, e_3, e'_2, e'_3)$,

$K_4 = \ker(A) = \text{span}(e_0, e_3, e'_0, e'_3)$, $K_6 = \ker(A^2) = span(e_0, e_2, e_3, e'_0, e'_2, e'_3)$.

We have $I_2 \subset I_4 \subset K_6$, $I_2 \subset K_4 \subset K_6$, $K_4 \cap I_4 = I_2$.

3.3. We show:

(a) *There are exactly two subspaces $V_4 \in \mathcal{P}^\beta$ such that $I_2 \subset V_4$ and $\dim(AV_4) \le 1$. They are ${}^!L_4, {}^!L'_4$.*

(b) *There are exactly two subspaces $V_4 \in \mathcal{P}^\gamma$ such that $I_2 \subset V_4$ and $\dim(AV_4) \le 1$. They are $L^!_4, L'^!_4$.*

We prove (a). The subspaces $V_4 \in \mathcal{P}^\beta$ such that $I_2 \subset V_4$ are determined by their intersection with $L^!_4, L'^!_4$; this intersection is of the form $\text{span}(e_3, e'_3, ae_0 + be'_2)$ where $(a, b) \in \mathbf{k}^2 - \{0, 0\}$ hence the required 4-subspaces are of the form $\text{span}(e_3, e'_3, ae_0 + be'_2, xe_0 + x'e'_0 + ze_2 + z'e'_2)$ where $ae_0 + be'_2, xe_0 + x'e'_0 + ze_2 + z'e'_2$ are linearly independent and we have $ax' + bx = 0, xx' + zz' = 0$. Moreover the condition that $\dim(AV_4) \le 1$ is that $\dim \text{span}(-be'_3, ze_3 - z'e'_3) \le 1$, that is $bz = 0$. Assume first that $a \ne, b \ne 0$; then $z = 0$, $xx' = 0$. From $ax' + bx = 0, xx' = 0$ we deduce that $x = x' = 0$. Since $ae_0 + be'_2, z'e'_2$ are linearly independent we see that $z' \ne 0$ and our V_4 is $\text{span}(e_3, e'_3, ae_0 + be'_2, e'_2) = \text{span}(e_3, e'_3, e_0, e'_2) \in \mathcal{P}^\gamma$, a contradiction. Assume now that $a = 0, b \ne 0$; then $z = 0, x = 0$. Since $be'_2, x'e'_0 + z'e'_2$ are linearly independent we see that $x' \ne 0$ and our V_4 is $\text{span}(e_3, e'_3, e'_2, x'e'_0 + z'e'_2) = \text{span}(e_3, e'_3, e'_2, e'_0) = {}^!L'_4$. Assume now that $a \ne 0, b = 0$; then $x' = 0$ and $zz' = 0$. Since $ae_0, xe_0 + ze_2 + z'e'_2$ are linearly independent we have either $z = 0, z' \ne 0$ or $z \ne 0, z' = 0$. If $z = 0, z' \ne 0$ then our V_4 is $\text{span}(e_3, e'_3, e_0, xe_0 + z'e'_2) = \text{span}(e_3, e'_3, e_0, e'_2) \in \mathcal{P}^\gamma$, a contradiction. If $z \ne 0, z' = 0$ then our V_4 is $\text{span}(e_3, e'_3, e_0, xe_0 + ze_2) = \text{span}(e_3, e'_3, e_0, e_2) = {}^!L_4$. Thus $V_4 \in \{{}^!L_4, {}^!L'_4\}$. Conversely it is clear that if $V_4 \in \{{}^!L_4, {}^!L'_4\}$ then V_4 satisfies the requirements of (a). This proves (a).

The proof of (b) is entirely similar to that of (a).

3.4. We set $L_1 = \text{span}(e_3) \in \mathcal{P}^\alpha$, $L'_1 = \text{span}(e'_3) \in \mathcal{P}^\alpha$. We have $A({}^!L_4) = A(L^!_4) = L_1$, $A({}^!L'_4) = A(L'^!_4) = L'_1$. In particular, if V_4 is as in 3.3(a),(b), then $AV_4 \in \{L_1, L'_1\}$ is a one dimensional (isotropic) subspace of I_2.

From 3.3(a),(b) we see that ${}^!L_4, L^!_4, {}^!L'_4, L'^!_4$ are intrinsic to u and do not depend

on the specific basis used to define u. It follows also that L_1, L_1' are intrinsic to u. Note that L_1, L_1' are the two lines in I_2 which are isotropic for the quadratic form $I_2 \to \mathbf{k}$ given by $v \mapsto Q(\tilde{v})$ where $\tilde{v}$ is any vector in I_4 such that $A\tilde{v} = v$.

3.5. Note that Q induces a nondegenerate quadratic form on K_4/I_2 which has exactly two isotropic lines. Hence there are exactly two isotropic 3-spaces contained in K_4 and containing I_2. They are $\operatorname{span}(e_3, e_3', e_0) = {}^!L_4 \cap L_4'^!$ and $\operatorname{span}(e_3, e_3', e_0') = {}^!L_4' \cap L_4^!$.

3.6. Let

$$\tilde{Y} = \{(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in \mathcal{B}; V_2 = I_2\}.$$

Let $(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in \tilde{Y}$. We have clearly $AV_1 = 0$. Moreover, since V_4 is an isotropic subspace containing I_2 we must have $V_4 \subset K_6 = \ker(A^2)$ hence $AV_4 \subset \ker(A) \cap AV = I_4 \cap K_4 = I_2 \subset V_4$. Thus, $AV_4 \subset V_4$. Similarly we have $A\tilde{V}_4 \subset \tilde{V}_4$. We see that $(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in \mathcal{B}_u$. Thus, $\tilde{Y} \subset \mathcal{B}_u$. Note that $\tilde{Y}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ hence it is a closed irreducible subvariety of $\mathcal{B}_u$ of dimension 3. Thus $\tilde{Y}$ is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{B}_u$. It satisfies $J_{\tilde{Y}} = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$. Hence $\tilde{Y} = \hat{S}$.

3.7. Let

$$N_1 = \{(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in \mathcal{B}; V_4 = {}^!L_4, \tilde{V}_4 = L_4'^!\},$$

$$N_2 = \{(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in \mathcal{B}; V_4 = {}^!L_4', \tilde{V}_4 = L_4^!\}.$$

We have $A({}^!L_4 \cap L_4'^!) = 0$, $A({}^!L_4' \cap L_4^!) = 0$ hence if $(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4)$ is in N_1 or N_2 then $AV_2 = 0$. Moreover, each of ${}^!L_4, L_4'^!, {}^!L_4', L_4^!$ is A-stable. We see that $(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in \mathcal{B}_u$. Thus, $N_1 \subset \mathcal{B}_u$, $N_2 \subset \mathcal{B}_u$. Note that N_1 are N_2 are isomorphic to the flag manifold of $GL_3(\mathbf{k})$ hence they are closed irreducible subvarieties of $\mathcal{B}_u$ of dimension 3. Thus they are (distinct) irreducible components of $\mathcal{B}_u$. They satisfy $J_{N_1} = J_{N_2} = \{\alpha, \omega\}$. Hence N_1, N_2 are the same as $S_{\beta\gamma'}, S_{\beta'\gamma}$ (up to order).

3.8. Let

$$N_3 = \{(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in \mathcal{B}; V_1 = L_1, \tilde{V}_4 = L_4^!\},$$

$$N_4 = \{(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in \mathcal{B}; V_1 = L_1', \tilde{V}_4 = L_4'^!\}.$$

Let $(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in N_3$. Let $V_3 = V_4 \cap \tilde{V}_4$. Since $A(\tilde{V}_4) = L_1$ we have $A(V_3) \subset L_1 = V_1 \subset V_3$ that is $uV_3 = V_3$; moreover, $A(V_2) \subset L_1 = V_1$ hence $AV_2 \subset V_2$. Now V_4 is the only subspace in its G-orbit that contains V_3; since $uV_4 \supset uV_3 = V_3$ it follows that $uV_4 = V_4$. The inclusions $AV_1 \subset V_1$, $A(\tilde{V}_4) \subset \tilde{V}_4$ are obvious. We see that $(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in \mathcal{B}_u$. Thus, $N_3 \subset \mathcal{B}_u$. Note that N_3 is isomorphic to the space of pairs (V_2, V_3) where $V_2 \subset V_3$ are subspaces of $\tilde{V}_4$ with $\dim V_2 = 2, \dim V_3 = 3$; hence N_3 is isomorphic to the the flag manifold of $GL_3(\mathbf{k})$. Thus N_3 is a closed irreducible subvariety of $\mathcal{B}_u$ of dimension 3. Thus $N_3 \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_u$. We have $J_{N_3} = \{\beta, \omega\}$. Similarly, $N_4 \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_u$ and $J_{N_4} = \{\beta, \omega\}$. Hence N_3, N_4 are the same as $S_{\alpha\gamma}, S_{\alpha'\gamma'}$ (up to order).

3.9. Let

$$N_5 = \{(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in \mathcal{B}; V_1 = L_1, V_4 = {}^!L_4\},$$
$$N_6 = \{(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in \mathcal{B}; V_1 = L'_1, V_4 = {}^!L'_4\}.$$

A proof completely similar to that in 3.8 shows that $N_5 \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_u$, $N_6 \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_u$. We have $J_{N_5} = J_{N_6} = \{\gamma, \omega\}$. Hence N_5, N_6 are the same as $S_{\alpha\beta}, S_{\alpha'\beta'}$ (up to order).

3.10. Let $X = \{(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in \mathcal{B}; V_1 \subset I_2 \subset V_4 \cap \tilde{V}_4, A(V_4 \cap \tilde{V}_4) \subset V_1\}$. We show:

(a) *X is a smooth irreducible projective variety of dimension* 3.

The fact that X is a projective variety is obvious. Now let Z be the variety of all pairs V_1, V_3 of isotropic subspaces of V of dimension 1 and 3 respectively such that $V_1 \subset I_2 \subset V_3$ and $AV_3 \subset V_1$. Clearly $\zeta : (V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \mapsto (V_1, V_4 \cap \tilde{V}_4)$ makes X into a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle over Z. Hence it is enough to show that Z is a smooth irreducible surface. Now the space of lines in I_2 is a projective line and the space of V_3 containing I_2 and contained in K_6 (without the isotropy condition) is a projective 3-space. Hence we can identify Z with

$$\{(z_0, z'_0, z_2, z'_2), (y, y')) \in \mathbf{P}^3 \times \mathbf{P}^1; z_0 z'_0 + z_2 z'_2 = 0, z_2 y' + z'_2 y = 0\}.$$

The subset of Z where $z'_2 \neq 0, y' \neq 0$ is

$$\{(z_0, z'_0, z_2; y) \in \mathbf{k}^3 \times \mathbf{k}; z_0 z'_0 + z_2 = 0, z_2 + y = 0\}$$
$$= \{(z_0, z'_0, z_2) \in \mathbf{k}^3; z_0 z'_0 + z_2 = 0\} \cong \mathbf{k}^2.$$

Similarly the subset of Z where $z_2 \neq 0, y \neq 0$ is $\cong \mathbf{k}^2$. The subset where $z_0 \neq 0, y \neq 0$ is

$$\{(z'_0, z_2, z'_2, y') \in \mathbf{k}^4; z'_0 + z_2 z'_2 = 0, z_2 y' + z'_2 = 0\} \cong \mathbf{k}^2.$$

The subset where $z_0 \neq 0, y' \neq 0$ is $\cong \mathbf{k}^2$; the subset where $z'_0 \neq 0, y \neq 0$ is $\cong \mathbf{k}^2$; the subset where $z'_0 \neq 0, y' \neq 0$ is $\cong \mathbf{k}^2$. Thus, Z is a union of 8 smooth irreducible surfaces (open in Z) hence Z is a smooth surface. Since the 8 open subsets above have a nonempty intersection, we see that Z is irreducible. This proves (a).

Now if $(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in X$ then setting $V_3 = V_4 \cap \tilde{V}_4$ we have $AV_1 = 0$ (since $V_1 \subset I_2$), $A(V_3) \subset V_1 \subset V_3$, $AV_2 \subset V_1 \subset V_2$ hence $uV_1 \subset V_1$, $uV_3 \subset V_3$, $uV_2 \subset V_2$; since $V_4 \in \mathcal{P}^\beta, \tilde{V}_4 \in \mathcal{P}^\gamma$ are determined uniquely by the conditions $V_3 \subset V_4$, $V_3 \subset \tilde{V}_4$, we have necessarily $uV_4 = V_4$, $u\tilde{V}_4 = \tilde{V}_4$. Thus, $X \subset \mathcal{B}_u$. Using this and (a) together with $\dim \mathcal{B}_u = 3$ we see that

(b) *X is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{B}_u$.*

It follows that the subsets $X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_\gamma$ of X are well defined (see 1.6).

We show:

(c) $\chi(X) = 12$.

Here $\chi()$ is as in 2.2. Since X is a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle over Z, an equivalent statement is:

(d) $\chi(Z)=6$.

The space $\mathfrak{U}$ of isotropic 3-spaces in V that contain I_2 hence are contained in K_6 can be identified with the space of isotropic lines in K_6/I_2 with its obvious quadratic form hence it is a product $\mathbf{P}^1\times\mathbf{P}^1$. The map $Z\to\mathfrak{U}$, $(V_1,V_3)\mapsto V_3$ is an isomorphism over the complement of two points in $\mathfrak{U}$, namely ${}^!L_4\cap L_4'{}^!$ and ${}^!L_4'\cap L_4^!$ and has fibres $\mathbf{P}^1$ at each of those two points. It follows that $\chi(Z)=\chi(\mathbf{P}^1\times\mathbf{P}^1)-2+2\chi(\mathbf{P}^1)=4-2+4=6$, as desired.

3.11. Clearly, X is a union of ω-lines. Hence for $i\in\{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\}$, X_i^* is defined as in 3.1. We have

$$X_\alpha=\{(V_1,V_2,V_4,\tilde V_4)\in X;\ \text{for any line } V_1' \text{ in } V_2 \text{ we have } AV_1'=0\}$$

hence

$$X_\alpha=\{(V_1,V_2,V_4,\tilde V_4)\in X; V_2\subset K_4\}.$$

We deduce that

$$X_\alpha^*=\{(V_1,V_2,V_4,\tilde V_4)\in X;\ \text{for any } V_2' \text{ with } V_1\subset V_2'\subset V_4\cap\tilde V_4 \text{ we have } V_2'\subset K_4\}.$$

Since the various V_2' such that $V_1\subset V_2'\subset V_4\cap\tilde V_4$ generate $V_4\cap\tilde V_4$ we see that

$$X_\alpha^*=\{(V_1,V_2,V_4,\tilde V_4)\in X; I_2\subset V_4\cap\tilde V_4\subset K_4\}$$

If $(V_1,V_2,V_4,\tilde V_4)\in X_\alpha^*$ then $A(V_4\cap\tilde V_4)=0$ hence $\dim A(V_4)\le 1$, $\dim A(\tilde V_4)\le 1$ (since $V_4\cap\tilde V_4$ has codimension 1 in V_4 and in $\tilde V_4$). Using 3.3(a),(b) we deduce that $V_4\in\{{}^!L_4,{}^!L_4'\}$, $\tilde V_4\in\{L_4^!,L_4'{}^!\}$. Thus we have either $V_4={}^!L_4,\tilde V_4=L_4'{}^!$ or $V_4={}^!L_4',\tilde V_4=L_4^!$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned}X_\alpha^*&=\{(V_1,V_2,V_4,\tilde V_4)\in X; V_4={}^!L_4,\tilde V_4=L_4'{}^!\}\\&\sqcup\{(V_1,V_2,V_4,\tilde V_4)\in X; V_4={}^!L_4',\tilde V_4=L_4^!\}.\end{aligned}$$

(The right hand side is clearly contained in the left hand side.) Since $A({}^!L_4\cap L_4'{}^!)=0, A({}^!L_4'\cap L_4^!)=0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}X_\alpha^*&=\{(V_1,V_2,V_4,\tilde V_4)\in\mathcal{B}; V_1\subset I_2, V_4={}^!L_4,\tilde V_4=L_4'{}^!\}\\&\sqcup\{(V_1,V_2,V_4,\tilde V_4)\in\mathcal{B}; V_1\subset I_2, V_4={}^!L_4',\tilde V_4=L_4^!\}.\end{aligned}\tag{a}$$

3.12. We have

$$X_\beta=\{(V_1,V_2,V_4,\tilde V_4)\in X;\ \text{for any } V_4'\in\mathcal{P}^\beta \text{ with } V_2\subset V_4' \text{ we have } u(V_4')=V_4'\}.$$

Let $(V_1,V_2,V_4,\tilde V_4)\in X_\beta$. Then for any $V_4'\in\mathcal{P}^\beta$ with $V_2\subset V_4'$ we have that $\tilde V_4\cap V_4'$ is an isotropic 3-space containing V_2; it is u-stable (since $\tilde V_4$ and V_4' are

u-stable). Moreover all isotropic 3-spaces containing V_2 and contained in $\tilde{V}_4$ are obtained in this way hence are all u-stable; it follows that u acts as 1 on $\tilde{V}_4/V_2$ that is, $A(tV_4) \subset V_2$. Thus, $X_\beta \subset \{(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in X; A(\tilde{V}_4) \subset V_2\}$. A similar argument shows the reverse inclusion. Thus

$$X_\beta = \{(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in X; A(\tilde{V}_4) \subset V_2\}.$$

We deduce that

$$X^*_\beta = \{(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in X; \text{ for any } V_2' \text{ with } V_1 \subset V_2' \subset V_4 \cap \tilde{V}_4 \text{ we have } A(\tilde{V}_4) \subset V_2'\}.$$

Since the intersection of all V_2' such that $V_1 \subset V_2' \subset V_4 \cap \tilde{V}_4$ is V_1 we see that

$$X^*_\beta = \{(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in X; A(\tilde{V}_4) \subset V_1\}$$

that is,

$$X^*_\beta = \{(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in \mathcal{B}; V_1 \subset I_2 \subset V_4 \cap \tilde{V}_4, A(\tilde{V}_4) \subset V_1\}.$$

Using 3.3(b), we see that for $\tilde{V}_4$ in the right hand side we have $\tilde{V}_4 \in \{L_4^!, L_4'^!\}$ so that V_1 is necessarily L_1 (if $\tilde{V}_4 = L_4^!$) or L_1' (if $\tilde{V}_4 = L_4'^!$). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} X^*_\beta &= \{(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in \mathcal{B}; I_2 \subset V_4, V_1 = L_1, \tilde{V}_4 = L_4^!\} \\ &\sqcup \{(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in \mathcal{B}; I_2 \subset V_4, V_1 = L_1', \tilde{V}_4 = L_4'^!\}. \end{aligned} \tag{a}$$

An entirely similar argument yields:

$$X_\gamma = \{(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in S; A(V_4) \subset V_2\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} X^*_\gamma &= \{(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in \mathcal{B}; I_2 \subset \tilde{V}_4, V_1 = L_1, V_4 = {}^!L_4\} \\ &\sqcup \{(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in \mathcal{B}; I_2 \subset \tilde{V}_4, V_1 = L_1', V_4 = {}^!L_4'\}. \end{aligned} \tag{b}$$

From 3.11(a) we see that X^*_α has two irreducible components, each one being a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle over $\mathbf{P}^1$ hence is two-dimensional; we see that $X^*_\alpha \neq X$ hence X is not a union of α-lines. Similarly from (a),(b) we see that $X^*_\beta \neq X$, $X^*_\gamma \neq X$ hence X is not a union of β-lines and X is not a union of γ-lines. Thus we have $J_X = \{\omega\}$. It follows that

$$X = S. \tag{c}$$

3.13. We have

$$Y = \{(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in X; V_2 = I_2\}$$
$$= \{(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in \mathcal{B}; V_2 = I_2, A(V_4 \cap \tilde{V}_4) \subset V_1\}.$$

Assume that $(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in Y$. If V_1' is an isotropic line contained in I_2 then $AV_1' = 0$ (since $AI_2 = 0$). This shows that $Y \subset X_\alpha = S_\alpha$. If U is an isotropic 4-space containing I_2 then $U \subset K_6 = \ker(A^2)$ hence $AU \subset \ker(A) \cap AV = K_4 \cap I_4 = I_2 \subset U$; thus, $AU \subset U$. This shows that $Y \subset X_\beta = S_\beta$ and $Y \subset X_\gamma = S_\gamma$. This proves the first assertion of 3.1(b). Now let $(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in X$ and let L be the ω-line in X containing $(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4)$. By the definition of X if V_2 is replaced by I_2 the resulting quadruple $(V_1, I_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4)$ belongs to X (and even to Y). This proves the second assertion of 3.1(b).

3.14. From 3.11(a) and 3.12(a),(b),(c), we see that 3.1(a) holds. Here P^α (resp. $\tilde{P}^\alpha$) is the stabilizer of L_1 (resp. L_1'); P^β (resp. $\tilde{P}^\beta$) is the stabilizer of ${}^!L_4$ (resp. ${}^!L_4'$); P^γ (resp. $\tilde{P}^\gamma$) is the stabilizer of $L_4^!$ (resp. $L_4'^!$).

3.15. Until the end of 3.18 we assume that G_{ad} is of type D_5. In this case we can write $I = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \omega\}$ where the numbering is chosen so that each of $s_\alpha s_\omega, s_\beta s_\omega, s_\gamma s_\omega, s_\alpha s_\delta$ has order 3. We shall assume that $u \in G$ (see 1.1) is such that $d_u = 3$; this determines u uniquely up to conjugacy. We can find $P \in \mathcal{P}^\delta$ such that $u \in P$ and $\bar{u} := \pi_P(u) \in \bar{P}$ satisfies $d_{\bar{u}} = 3$ and, if C is the conjugacy class of u in G, then $C \cap \pi_P^{-1}(\bar{u})$ is open dense in $\pi_P^{-1}(\bar{u})$. Since the adjoint group of $\bar{P}$ is of type D_4, the irreducible component S of $\mathcal{B}_{\bar{u}}^{\bar{P}}$ is defined as in 3.1 with G, u replaced by $\bar{P}, \bar{u}$. Note that the Weyl group of $\bar{P}$ is canonically identified with the subgroup of W generated by $\{s_\alpha, s_\beta, s_\gamma, s_\omega\}$. The imbedding $\mathcal{B}^{\bar{P}} \to \mathcal{B}$, $B_1 \mapsto \pi_P^{-1}(B_1)$ restricts to an imbedding $\mathcal{B}_{\bar{u}}^{\bar{P}} \to \mathcal{B}_u$ and to an isomorphism of S onto an irreducible component $\tilde{S}$ of $\mathcal{B}_u$. (See 1.5.) This imbedding carries any i-line in $\mathcal{B}^{\bar{P}}$ (where $i \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega\}$) to an i-line in $\mathcal{B}$.

Using 1.4(b), we see that there is a unique $E \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_u$ such that $J_E = \{\beta, \gamma, \delta\}$.

The inverse images under π_P of the parabolic subgroups

$P^\alpha, \tilde{P}^\alpha, P^\beta, \tilde{P}^\beta, P^\gamma, \tilde{P}^\gamma, P^\omega$

as in 3.1(a) (with G, u replaced by $\bar{P}, \bar{u}$) are denoted again by the same letters; thus we have

$P^\alpha \neq \tilde{P}^\alpha$ in $\mathcal{P}^\alpha$, $P^\beta \neq \tilde{P}^\beta$ in $\mathcal{P}^\beta$, $P^\gamma \neq \tilde{P}^\gamma$ in $\mathcal{P}^\gamma$, $P^\omega \in \mathcal{P}^\omega$,

where $\mathcal{P}^i$ refers to G.

3.16. We will show that there is a well defined parabolic subgroup $\hat{P}^\alpha \in \mathcal{P}^\alpha$ such that

(a) $\hat{P}^\alpha \notin \{P^\alpha, \tilde{P}^\alpha\}$, $\hat{P}^\alpha \spadesuit P^\omega$ and

(b) $\tilde{S}_\delta = \{B \in \tilde{S}; B(\alpha) = \hat{P}^\alpha\}$,

(c) $E = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(\alpha) = \hat{P}^\alpha, B(\omega) = P^\omega\}$.

To prove this is the same as proving it for G_{ad}. Hence we can assume that G is the

special orthogonal group associated to a 10-dimensional $\mathbf{k}$-vector space V with a given nondegenerate quadratic form $Q : V \to \mathbf{k}$ and associate symmetric bilinear form $(,) : V \times V \to \mathbf{k}$. Until the end of 3.18 we shall adhere to this assumption. Now $A := u - 1 : V \to V$ is nilpotent. If $p \neq 2$, A has Jordan blocks of sizes $5, 3, 1, 1$. If $p = 2$, A has Jordan blocks of sizes $4, 4, 1, 1$ and moreover we have $Q(A^2x) \neq 0$ for some $x \in V$. These conditions describe completely the conjugacy class of u.

We identify $\mathcal{P}^\delta$ with the variety of all isotropic lines in V; $\mathcal{P}^\alpha$ with the variety of all isotropic planes in V; $\mathcal{P}^\omega$ with the variety of all isotropic 3-spaces in V. (In each case the identification attaches to an isotropic subspace its stabilizer in G.) We will describe later $\mathcal{P}^\beta$ and $\mathcal{P}^\gamma$.

We can find a basis $\{e_i, e'_i; i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}\} \sqcup \{f, f'\}$ of V such that

P is the stabilizer in G of the line $\mathbf{k}f$;

$Q(e_i) = Q(e'_i) = 0$ for $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, $Q(f) = Q(f') = 0$;

$(e_i, e_j) = (e'_i, e'_j) = 0$ for all i, j and $(f, e_i) = (f', e_i) = 0$ for all i;

$(e_i, e'_j) = 1$ if $i \neq j$ are both odd or if $i = j$ are both even and $(f, f') = 1$;

$(e_i, e'_j) = 0$ otherwise,

and such that

$Af' = a_0e_0 + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3 + a'_0e'_0 + a'_1e'_1 + a'_2e'_2 + a'_3e'_3 + df$

$Ae_0 = b_0f, Ae'_0 = b'_0f,$

$Ae_1 = e_2 + xe_3 + b_1f, Ae_2 = e_3 + b_2f, Ae_3 = b_3f,\ Ae'_1 = -e'_2 + x'e'_3 + b'_1f,$

$Ae'_2 = -e'_3 + b'_2f, Ae'_3 = b'_3f, Af = 0,$

where $x, x' \in \mathbf{k}$ satisfy $x + x' = 1$, compare with 3.2. Here

$a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_0, a'_1, a'_2, a'_3, b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, b'_0, b'_1, b'_2, b'_3, d$

are elements of $\mathbf{k}$ such that

$d + a_0a'_0 + a_1a'_3 + a_2a'_2 + a_3a'_1 = 0,\ a'_0 + b_0 = 0, a_0 + b'_0 = 0,$

$a'_3 + a'_2 + xa'_1 + b_1 = 0, a_3 - a_2 + x'a_1 + b'_1 = 0,\ a'_2 + a'_1 + b_2 = 0, a_2 - a_1 + b'_2 = 0,$

$a'_1 + b_3 = 0, a_1 + b'_3 = 0.$

(These equations express the fact that u is an isometry for Q.)

We identify $\mathcal{P}^\beta$ with the variety of all isotropic 5-spaces in V in the G-orbit of $\mathrm{span}(f, e_3, e'_3, e_0, e_2)$; $\mathcal{P}^\gamma$ with the variety of all isotropic 5-spaces in V in the G-orbit of $\mathrm{span}(f, e_3, e'_3, e'_0, e_2)$. (In each case the identification attaches to an isotropic subspace its stabilizer in G.) We identify $\mathcal{B}$ with the variety of all sequences $(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_5, \tilde{V}_5) \in \mathcal{P}^\delta \times \mathcal{P}^\alpha \times \mathcal{P}^\omega \times \mathcal{P}^\beta \times \mathcal{P}^\gamma$ such that $V_1 \subset V_2 \subset V_3 \subset V_5, V_3 \subset \tilde{V}_5$. (Such a sequence is identified with its stabilizer $\{g \in G; gV_1 = V_1, gV_2 = V_2, gV_3 = V_3, gV_5 = V_5, g\tilde{V}_5 = \tilde{V}_5\}$.)

We now compute the powers A^k for $k = 2, 3, 4$ (here $*$ denotes an element of $\mathbf{k}$).

$A^2f' = a_1e_2 + (a_2 + xa_1)e_3 - a'_1e'_2 + (-a'_2 + x'a'_1)e'_3 + *f,$

$A^2e_0 = 0,\ A^2e_1 = e_3 + *f,\ A^2e_2 = -a'_1f,\ A^2e_3 = 0,$

$A^2e'_0 = 0,\ A^2e'_1 = e'_3 + *f,\ A^2e'_2 = -a_1f,\ A^2e'_3 = 0,\ A^2f = 0;$

$A^3f' = a_1e_3 + a'_1e'_3 + *f,\ A^3e_0 = 0,\ A^3e_1 = -a'_1f,\ A^3e_2 = 0,\ A^3e_3 = 0,$

$A^3e'_0 = 0,$

$A^3e'_1 = -a_1f$, $A^3e'_2 = 0$, $A^3e'_3 = 0$, $A^3f = 0$;
$A^4f' = -2a_1a'_1f$, $A^4e_i = A^4e'_i = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, $A^4f = 0$.
We see that $A^4 = 0$ if $p = 2$ and $A^5 = 0$ without restriction on p. Since A has a Jordan block of size 5 (if $p \neq 2$) and one of size 4 (if $p = 2$) we see that $A^4 \neq 0$ (if $p \neq 2$) and $A^3 \neq 0$ (if $p = 2$). It follows that $a_1a'_1 \neq 0$ if $p \neq 2$ and $(a_1, a'_1) \neq (0, 0)$ in any case.

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the image of the map $V \to \mathbf{k}$, $v \mapsto Q(A^2v)$. Since

$$A^2V = \operatorname{span}(f, e_3, e'_3, a_1e_2 - a'_1e'_2),$$

$\mathcal{I}$ is the same as the image of the map $\mathbf{k}^4 \to \mathbf{k}$,

$$(x, y, x, t) \mapsto Q(xf + ye_3 + ze'_3 + t(a_1e_2 - a'_1e'_2) = Q(t(a_1e_2 - a'_1e'_2)) = -t^2a_1a'_1.$$

Thus, if $p \neq 2$ we have $\mathcal{I} = \mathbf{k}$ since $a_1a'_1 \neq 0$; if $p = 2$ then we already know that $\mathcal{I} \neq 0$ hence we must have $a_1a'_1 \neq 0$. Thus, without assumption on p we have $a_1a'_1 \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{I} = \mathbf{k}$.

3.17. Let $I_3 = \operatorname{span}(f, e_3, e'_3) \in \mathcal{P}^\omega$, $I'_2 = \operatorname{span}(f, a_1e_3 - a'_1e'_3)$, $K_7 = \{v \in V; (v, V_3) = 0\} = \operatorname{span}(f, e_3, e'_3, e_2, e'_2, e_0, e'_0)$.

Note that $I'_2 = I_3 \cap \ker(A)$; moreover I'_2, I_3 are isotropic subspaces. From the definitions we have

$$\tilde{S} = \{(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_5, \tilde{V}_5) \in \mathcal{B}; V_1 = \mathbf{k}f \subset V_2 \subset I_3 \subset V_5 \cap \tilde{V}_5, A(V_5 \cap \tilde{V}_5) \subset V_2\}.$$

From the definitions, $\tilde{S}_\delta$ is the set of all $(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_5, \tilde{V}_5) \in \tilde{S}$ such that for any isotropic line V'_1 contained in V_2 we have $AV'_1 \subset V'_1$ that is, $AV'_1 = 0$. Since V_2 is generated by such V'_1, we see that

$$\tilde{S}_\delta = \{(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_5, \tilde{V}_5) \in \tilde{S}; AV_2 = 0\}$$
$$= \{(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_5, \tilde{V}_5) \in \tilde{S}; V_2 \subset I_3 \cap \ker(A)\} = \{(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_5, \tilde{V}_5) \in \tilde{S}; V_2 = I'_2\}.$$

This shows that 3.16(b) holds where $\hat{P}^\alpha$ is the parabolic subgroup in $\mathcal{P}^\alpha$ which is the stabilizer of I'_2. From the definitions we see that P^α (resp. $\tilde{P}^\alpha$) is the stabilizer of $\operatorname{span}(e_3, f)$ (resp. of $\operatorname{span}(e'_3, f)$). Since $\operatorname{span}(e_3, f), \operatorname{span}(e'_3, f), \operatorname{span}(a_1e_3 - a'_1e_3, f)$ are distinct (recall that $a_1a'_1 \neq 0$) we see that $\hat{P}^\alpha \notin \{P^\alpha, \tilde{P}^\alpha\}$. Since P^ω is the stabilizer of I_3 and $I'_2 \subset I_3$ we see that $\hat{P}^\alpha \spadesuit P^\omega$. Thus, 3.16(a) holds.

3.18. Let

$$E' = \{(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_5, \tilde{V}_5) \in \mathcal{B}; V_2 = I'_2, V_3 = I_3\}.$$

If $(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_5, \tilde{V}_5) \in E'$ then $AV_1 = AV_2 = AV_3 = 0$; moreover we have $V_5 \subset K_7$ hence $AV_5 \subset AK_7 \subset I_3 \subset V_5$. Similarly, $A\tilde{V}_5 \subset I_3 \subset V_5$. Thus we have $(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_5, \tilde{V}_5) \in \mathcal{B}_u$. We see that $E' \subset \mathcal{B}_u$. Now E' is isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ hence it is a closed irreducible 3-dimensional subvariety of $\mathcal{B}_u$. Thus E' is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{B}_u$. We have $J_{E'} = \{\delta, \beta, \gamma\}$. We see that $E' = E$. From the description of $E = E'$ given above we see that 3.16(c) holds.

3.19. Until the end of 3.23 we assume that G_{ad} is of type A_5 and that $u \in G$ (see 1.1) is such that $d_u = 3$ and the Springer representation ρ_u is the irreducible representation of W of dimension five appearing in the third symmetric power of the reflection representation of W. Note that u is unique up to conjugation. We can write $I = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ where the numbering is chosen so that each of $s_1s_2, s_2s_3, s_3s_4, s_4s_5$ has order 3.

Using 1.4(b) we see that there is a unique $T \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_u$ such that $J_T = \{3\}$ and a unique $M \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_u$ such that $J_M = \{1, 3, 5\}$. We shall prove

(a) *there are well defined parabolic subgroups $P^2 \in \mathcal{P}^2$, $P^4 \in \mathcal{P}^4$ such that $M = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(2) = P^2, B(4) = P^4\}$;*

we have $T_1 = T_5 = \{B \in T; B(2) = P^2, B(4) = P^4\} = M \cap T$ (we denote it by T_{15}); this is a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle over $\mathbf{P}^1$ and is a union of 3-lines;

we have $T_2 = T_4$ (we denote it by T_{24}); it intersects any 3-line in T in exactly one point;

the intersection $T_{15} \cap T_{24}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^1$;

there is a unique morphism $\vartheta : T_{24} \to T_{15} \cap T_{24}$ such that for any $B \in T_{24}$ we have $(B, \vartheta(B)) \in \mathcal{O}_w$ for some $w \in W_{24}$; moreover, ϑ is a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle.

From (a) we see that

(b) $\chi(T_{24}) = 4$, $\chi(T) = 8$.

where $\chi()$ is as in 2.2.

3.20. To prove 3.19(a) for G is the same as proving it for G_{ad}. Hence we can assume that $G = GL(V)$ where V is a 6-dimensional $\mathbf{k}$-vector space. Until the end of 3.23 we shall adhere to this assumption. We can write $u = A + 1$ where $A : V \to V$ is nilpotent with Jordan blocks of sizes 3, 3. Let $K_2 = \ker A = A^2(V)$, $K_4 = \ker A^2 = A(V)$. We have $K_2 \subset K_4$.

For $i \in I$, we identify $\mathcal{P}^i$ with the variety of all i-dimensional subspaces of V. (The identification attaches to a subspace its stabilizer in G.) We identify $\mathcal{B}$ with the variety of all sequences $(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_5) \in \mathcal{P}^1 \times \mathcal{P}^2 \times \mathcal{P}^3 \times \mathcal{P}^4 \times \mathcal{P}^5$ such that $V_1 \subset V_2 \subset V_3 \subset V_4 \subset V_5$. (Such a sequence is identified with its stabilizer $\{g \in G; gV_1 = V_1, gV_2 = V_2, gV_4 = V_4, g\tilde{V}_4 = \tilde{V}_4\}$.)

3.21. Let

$$M' = \{(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_5) \in \mathcal{B}; V_2 = K_2, V_4 = K_4\}.$$

If $(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_5) \in M$ then

$AV_5 \subset AV = K_4 \subset V_5$, $AV_3 \subset AK_4 = A\ker(A^2) \subset \ker(A) = K_2 \subset V_3$,

$AV_1 \subset AK_2 = 0$, $AV_4 = AK_4 = K_2 \subset V_4$, $AK_2 = 0$.

We see that $(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_5) \in \mathcal{B}_u$. Thus, $M' \subset \mathcal{B}_u$. Note that M' is isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ hence it is a closed irreducible subvariety of $\mathcal{B}_u$ of dimension 3. Thus it is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{B}_u$. It satisfies $J_{M'} = \{1, 3, 5\}$. Hence $M' = M$.

3.22. Let

$$T' = \{(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_5) \in \mathcal{B}; V_1 \subset K_2, K_4 \subset V_5, A^2V_5 = V_1, \\ AV_4 = V_2, V_2 \subset AV_5 \subset V_4\},$$

$$Z = \{(V_1, V_2, V_4, V_5) \in \mathcal{P}^1 \times \mathcal{P}^2 \times \mathcal{P}^4 \times \mathcal{P}^5; V_1 \subset V_2, V_4 \subset V_5, \\ V_1 \subset K_2, K_4 \subset V_5, A^2V_5 = V_1, AV_4 = V_2, V_2 \subset AV_5 \subset V_4\}.$$

The map $\zeta : T' \to Z$, $(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_5) \mapsto (V_1, V_2, V_4, V_5)$ is a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle. Let

$$Z' = \{(V_1, V_5) \in \mathcal{P}^1 \times \mathcal{P}^5; V_1 \subset K_2, K_4 \subset V_5, A^2V_5 = V_1\}.$$

Consider the map $\zeta' : Z \to Z'$, $(V_1, V_2, V_4, V_5) \mapsto (V_1, V_5)$. Its fibre at (V_1, V_5) can be identified with the projective line $\{V_4; AV_5 \subset V_4 \subset V_5\}$ of the 2-dimensional vector space V_5/AV_5 (note that V_2 is uniquely determined by V_4 via $V_2 = AV_5$ and it automatically satisfies $V_1 \subset V_2 \subset AV_5$ since $A^2V_5 \subset AV_4 \subset AV_5$); thus ζ' is a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle. Note also that Z' can be identified with the projective line $\{V_5; K_4 \subset V_5 \subset V\}$ of the 2-dimensional vector space V/K_4. We see that T' is a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle over a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle over a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle. In particular, T' is a closed smooth irreducible subvariety of dimension 3 of $\mathcal{B}$. We show that $T' \subset \mathcal{B}_u$. It is enough to show that if $(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_5) \in T'$, then $AV_i \subset V_i$ for $i \in I$. For $i = 5$ this follows from $AV_5 \subset V_4 \subset V_5$. For $i = 4$ this follows from $AV_4 = V_2 \subset V_4$. For $i = 3$ this follows from $AV_3 \subset AV_4 = V_2 \subset V_3$. For $i = 2$ this follows from $AV_2 \subset AV_4 = V_2$. For $i = 1$ this follows from $AV_1 \subset AK_2 = 0$. Since $d_u = 3$, we see that $T' \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_u$. Hence T'_i is defined for $i \in I$.

3.23. Note that $T'_3 = T'$. From the definitions we have

$$T'_1 = \{(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_5) \in T'; V_2 = K_2\},$$

$$T'_2 = \{(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_5) \in T'; AV_3 \subset V_1\},$$

$$T'_4 = \{(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_5) \in T'; AV_5 \subset V_3\},$$

$$T'_5 = \{(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_5) \in T'; V_4 = K_4\}.$$

We show:

(a) $$T'_2 = T'_4,$$

(b) $$T'_1 = T'_5.$$

Let $(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_5) \in T'$.

If $AV_5 \subset V_3$ then, since $\dim AV_5 = 3$, we have $AV_5 = V_3$. Hence $AV_3 = A^2V_5 = V_1$. Thus $T'_4 \subset T'_2$.

If $AV_3 \subset V_1$ then $A^2V_3 \subset AV_1 = 0$ hence $V_3 \subset K_4$. Now $A : K_4 \to K_2$ is surjective and $V_1 \subset K_2$ hence $\{x \in K_4; Ax \in V_1\}$ is a 3-dimensional subspace of K_4 containing V_3 hence $\{x \in K_4; Ax \in V_1\} = V_3$. Now $AV_5 \subset \{x \in K_4; Ax \in V_1\}$ since $AV_5 \subset K_4$ and $A^2V_5 = V_1$. Hence $AV_5 \subset V_3$ (and even $AV_5 = V_3$). Thus $T'_2 \subset T'_4$.

Assume that $V_4 = K_4$. Now $AV_4 = V_2$, $AK_4 = K_2$ hence $V_2 = K_2$. Thus $T'_5 \subset T'_1$.

Assume that $V_2 = K_2$. We have $K_4 = A^{-1}(K_2)$, $V_4 \subset A^{-1}(V_2) = A^{-1}(K_2)$ so that $V_4 \subset K_4$. We see that $T'_1 \subset T'_5$.

This proves (a),(b). We set $T'_{24} = T'_2 = T'_4$, $T'_{15} = T'_1 = T'_5$. We have

$$T'_{24} = \{(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_5) \in \mathcal{B}; V_1 \subset K_2, K_4 \subset V_5, AV_5 = V_3, AV_3 = V_1, AV_4 = V_2\},$$

$$T'_{15} = \{(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_5) \in \mathcal{B}; V_2 = K_2, V_4 = K_4, A^2V_5 = V_1\}.$$

We set

$$\mathfrak{Z} := T'_{24} \cap T'_{15} = \{(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_5) \in \mathcal{B}; AV_5 = V_3, AV_3 = V_1, V_2 = K_2, V_4 = K_4\}.$$

Now $\vartheta : T'_{24} \to \mathfrak{Z}$, $(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_5) \mapsto (V_1, K_2, V_3, K_4, V_5)$ is a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle; its fibre at $(V_1, K_2, V_3, K_4, V_5)$ is $\{(V_2, V_4) \in \mathcal{P}^2 \times \mathcal{P}^4; V_1 \subset V_2 \subset V_3, V_3 \subset V_4 \subset V_5, AV_4 = V_2\}$ which is isomorphic to the projective line $\{V_4; V_3 \subset V_4 \subset V_5\}$ of the 2-dimensional vector space V_5/V_3.

Note that $\mathfrak{Z}$ is isomorphic to the projective line $\{V_5; K_4 \subset V_5 \subset V\}$ of the 2-dimensional vector space V/K_4. Thus T'_{24} is a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle over $\mathbf{P}^1$ and thus, having dimension 2, is $\neq T'$. Now

$$T'_{15} \to \{(V_1, V_2, V_4, V_5) \in \mathcal{P}^1 \times \mathcal{P}^2 \times \mathcal{P}^4 \times \mathcal{P}^5; V_2 = K_2, V_4 = K_4, A^2V_5 = V_1\}$$

is a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle whose base is isomorphic to the projective line $\{V_5; K_4 \subset V_5 \subset V\}$ of the 2-dimensional vector space V/K_4. Thus T'_{15} is a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle over $\mathbf{P}^1$ and thus, having dimension 2, is $\neq T'$. Also T'_{15} is a union of 3-lines (the fibres of the map above.)

We now see that $T'_i \neq T'$ for $i \in I - \{3\}$. It follows that $T' = T$, see 3.19.

Now any 3-line in T is the fibre of $\zeta : T' \to Z$ at some $(V_1, V_2, V_4, V_5) \in Z$. This fibre contains exactly one point in T'_{24} namely the one defined by $V_3 = AV_5$. This completes the proof of 3.19(a).

3.24. In this subsection we assume that G_{ad} is of type $A_2A_2A_1$. We can write $I = \{0, 1, 2, 4, 5\}$ where the notation is chosen so that s_1s_2, s_4s_5 have order 3. We shall assume that $u \in G$ (see 1.1) is such that the image of u in G_{ad} has a projection to each of the three factors a subregular element in that factor. We have $d_u = 3$. Now $\mathcal{B}_u$ has four irreducible components: C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 where $J_{C_1} = \{1, 0, 4\}$,

$J_{C_2} = \{2, 0, 4\}$, $J_{C_3} = \{2, 0, 5\}$, $J_{C_4} = \{1, 0, 5\}$. It is clear that there are well defined $Q^i \in \mathcal{P}^i$, $i = 1, 2, 4, 5$, such that $\cap_{i\in\{1,2,4,5\}} Q^i$ contains a Borel subgroup and

$$C_1 = \{B \in \mathcal{B}_u; B(2) = Q^2, B(5) = Q^5\},$$

$$C_2 = \{B \in \mathcal{B}_u; B(1) = Q^1, B(5) = Q^5\},$$

$$C_3 = \{B \in \mathcal{B}_u; B(1) = Q^1, B(4) = Q^4\}.$$

$$C_4 = \{B \in \mathcal{B}_u; B(2) = Q^2, B(4) = Q^4\},$$

3.25. In this subsection we assume that G_{ad} is of type $A_2A_1A_1$. We can write $I = \{0, 1, 3, 5\}$ where the notation is chosen so that s_0s_3 has order 3. We shall assume that $u \in G$ (see 1.1) is such that the image of u in G_{ad} has a projection to each of the three factors a subregular element in that factor. We have $d_u = 3$. Now $\mathcal{B}_u$ has two irreducible components: C'_1, C'_2 where $J_{C'_1} = \{1, 3, 5\}$, $J_{C'_2} = \{1, 0, 5\}$. It is clear that there are well defined parabolic subgroups $P^0 \in \mathcal{P}^0$, $P^3 \in \mathcal{P}^3$ such that $P^0 \cap P^3$ contains a Borel subgroup and

$$C'_1 = \{B \in \mathcal{B}_u; B(0) = P^0\}, \quad C'_2 = \{B \in \mathcal{B}_u; B(3) = P^3\}.$$

4. Euler characteristic computations

4.1. In this section we assume that G_{ad} is of type E_6. We can write $I = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ where the numbering is chosen so that $s_1s_2, s_2s_3, s_3s_4, s_4s_5, s_0s_3$ have order 3. We shall assume that $u \in G$ (see 1.1) is such that $d_u = 3$; this determines u uniquely up to conjugacy. The Springer representation ρ_u of W is a direct sum of two irreducible representations: one of dimension 30 (appearing in the third symmetric power of the reflection representation) and one of dimension 15 (appearing in the fifth symmetric power of the reflection representation). Using 1.4(b) and the knowledge of ρ_u we see that there are exactly

-two irreducible components X of $\mathcal{B}_u$ such that $J_X = \{3\}$ (we call them $\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{T}$);

-two irreducible components X of $\mathcal{B}_u$ such that $J_X = \{0, 3\}$ (we call them $X(03), X'(03)$);

-one irreducible component X of $\mathcal{B}_u$ such that $J_X = \{0, 1, 4\}$ (we call it $X(014)$);

-one irreducible component X of $\mathcal{B}_u$ such that $J_X = \{0, 2, 4\}$ (we call it $X(024)$);

-one irreducible component X of $\mathcal{B}_u$ such that $J_X = \{0, 2, 5\}$ (we call it $X(025)$);

-one irreducible component X of $\mathcal{B}_u$ such that $J_X = \{0, 1, 5\}$ (we call it $X(015)$);

-one irreducible component X of $\mathcal{B}_u$ such that $J_X = \{1, 3, 5\}$ (we call it $X(135)$).

From 1.1(b) we see that if K is one of $\{0, 1, 4\}$, $\{0, 2, 4\}$, $\{0, 2, 5\}$, $\{0, 1, 5\}$, $\{1, 3, 5\}$ then the exists a unique $P_K \in \mathcal{P}_K$ such that $X(K) = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B \subset P_K\}$.

Now u is induced from a unipotent element $u_1 \in \bar{P}$ where $P \in \mathcal{P}_{01245}$ and $(u_1, \bar{P})$ is like (u, G) in 3.24; in particular we have $u \in P, u_1 = \pi_P(u)$. The four irreducible components of $\mathcal{B}^{\bar{P}}_{u_1}$ (see 3.24) give rise as in 1.5 to four irreducible components of $\mathcal{B}_u$ with the J_X being preserved; these irreducible components of

$\mathcal{B}_u$ must be the same as $X(014)$, $X(024)$, $X(025)$, $X(015)$. Using 3.24, we see that there exist $Q^i \in \mathcal{P}^i$ (relative to G) where $i \in \{1,2,3,4,5\}$ such that

$$X(014) = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(2) = Q^2, B(3) = Q^3, B(5) = Q^5\},$$

$$X(024) = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(1) = Q^1, B(3) = Q^3, B(5) = Q^5\},$$

$$X(025) = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(1) = Q^1, B(3) = Q^3, B(4) = Q^4\},$$

$$X(015) = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(2) = Q^2, B(3) = Q^3, B(4) = Q^4\}.$$

(Note that these conditions determine Q^i uniquely and that $Q^3 = P$.) Moreover, $\cap_{i\in\{1,2,3,4,5\}} Q^i$ contains a Borel subgroup. Next we note that u is induced from a unipotent element $u_2 \in \bar{P}'$ where $P' \in \mathcal{P}_{0135}$ and $(u_2, \bar{P}')$ is like (u, G) in 3.25; in particular we have $u \in P', u_2 = \pi_{P'}(u)$. The two irreducible components of $\mathcal{B}_{u_2}^{\bar{P}'}$ (see 3.25) give rise as in 1.5 to two irreducible components of $\mathcal{B}_u$ with the J_X being preserved; these irreducible components of $\mathcal{B}_u$ must be the same as $X(135)$, $X(015)$. Using 3.25 we see that there exist $\tilde{Q}^i \in \mathcal{P}^i$ (relative to G) where $i \in \{0,2,3,4\}$ such that

$$X(135) = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(0) = \tilde{Q}^0, B(2) = \tilde{Q}^2, B(4) = \tilde{Q}^4\},$$

$$X(015) = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(2) = \tilde{Q}^2, B(3) = \tilde{Q}^3, B(4) = \tilde{Q}^4\}.$$

(Note that these conditions determine $\tilde{Q}^i$ uniquely and that $\tilde{Q}^2$, $\tilde{Q}^4$ contain P'.) Moreover, $\cap_{i\in\{0,2,3,4\}} \tilde{Q}^i$ contains a Borel subgroup. Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} X(015) &= \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(2) = Q^2, B(3) = Q^3, B(4) = Q^4\} \\ &= \{B \in \mathcal{B}_u; B(2) = \tilde{Q}^2, B_3 = \tilde{Q}^3, B(4) = \tilde{Q}^4\} \end{aligned}$$

we see that we have $Q^2 = \tilde{Q}^2$, $Q^3 = \tilde{Q}^3$, $Q^4 = \tilde{Q}^4$. We set $Q^0 = \tilde{Q}^0$. Then we have

$$X(135) = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(0) = Q^0, B(2) = Q^2, B(4) = Q^4\}.$$

From the previous argument we see also that $Q_0 := Q^1 \cap Q^2 \cap Q^3 \cap Q^4 \cap Q^5$ is a parabolic subgroup in $\mathcal{P}_0$ and $Q_{15} := Q^0 \cap Q^2 \cap Q^3 \cap Q^4$ is a parabolic subgroup in $\mathcal{P}_{15}$. Let $Q_{015} = Q^2 \cap Q^3 \cap Q^4$. We have $Q_{015} \in \mathcal{P}_{015}$ and $Q_0 \subset Q_{015}$, $Q_{15} \subset Q_{015}$. Since the adjoint group of $\bar{Q}_{015}$ is of type $A_1A_1A_1$, the intersection $Q_0 \cap Q_{15}$ is a Borel subgroup of Q_{015}. We see that $Q^0 \cap Q^1 \cap Q^2 \cap Q^3 \cap Q^4 \cap Q^5$ is a Borel subgroup of G. We show:

(a) *The intersection $X(135) \cap X(024)$ consists of exactly one Borel subgroup B_0.*

Indeed the condition that $B \in \mathcal{B}$ belongs to $X(135) \cap X(024)$ is the same as $B(0) = Q^0, B(2) = Q^2, B(4) = Q^4, B(1) = Q^1, B(3) = Q^3, B(5) = Q^5$ that is, $B \subset Q^0 \cap Q^1 \cap Q^2 \cap Q^3 \cap Q^4 \cap Q^5$. It remains to use that the last intersection is a Borel subgroup of G.

4.2. Now u is induced from a unipotent element $u' \in \bar{Q}'$ where $Q' \in \mathcal{P}_{12345} = \mathcal{P}^0$ and (u', Q') is like (u, G) in 3.19; in particular, we have $u \in Q', u' = \pi_{Q'}(u')$. The irreducible components M (resp. T) of $\mathcal{B}_{u'}^{\bar{Q}'}$ as in 3.19 (with G, u replaced by $\bar{Q}', u'$)) give rise as in 1.5 to irreducible components of $\mathcal{B}_u$ with the same J_X which therefore must be equal to $X(135)$ (resp. to $X' \in \{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{T}\}$; we arrange notation so that $X' = \mathbf{T}$). From 3.19(a) we see that the following holds:

(a) *there are well defined parabolic subgroups $P'^2 \in \mathcal{P}^2$, $P'^4 \in \mathcal{P}^4$ such that $X(135) = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(0) = Q, B(2) = P'^2, B(4) = P'^4\}$;*

we have $\mathbf{T} \subset \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(0) = Q', B(1)\spadesuit P'^2, B(5)\spadesuit P'^4\}$;

we have $\mathbf{T}_1 = \mathbf{T}_5 = \{B \in \mathbf{T}; B(0) = Q', B(2) = P'^2, B(4) = P'^4\} = X(135) \cap \mathbf{T}$ (we denote it by $\mathbf{T}_{15}$); this is a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle over $\mathbf{P}^1$ and is a union of 3-lines;

we have $\mathbf{T}_2 = \mathbf{T}_4$ (we denote it by $\mathbf{T}_{24}$); it intersects any 3-line in $\mathbf{T}$ in exactly one point;

the intersection $\mathbf{T}_{15} \cap \mathbf{T}_{24}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^1$;

there is a unique morphism $\vartheta : \mathbf{T}_{24} \to \mathbf{T}_{15} \cap \mathbf{T}_{24}$ such that for any $B \in \mathbf{T}_{24}$ we have $(B, \vartheta(B)) \in \mathcal{O}_w$ for some $w \in W_{24}$; moreover, ϑ is a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle.

Since we have also $X(135) = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(0) = Q^0, B(2) = Q^2, B(4) = Q^4\}$ we see that we must have $Q' = Q^0, P'^2 = Q^2, P'^4 = Q^4$. Hence we have $\mathbf{T} \subset \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(0) = Q^0, B(1)\spadesuit Q^2, B(5)\spadesuit Q^4\}$.

4.3. Now u is induced from a unipotent element $u'' \in \bar{Q}''$ where $Q'' \in \mathcal{P}_{0234}$ and $(u'', \bar{Q}'')$ is like (u, G) in 3.1 with $\alpha = 0, \beta = 2, \gamma = 4, \omega = 3$; in particular we have $u \in Q'', u'' = \pi_{Q''}(u)$. The irreducible components $\hat{S}$, $S_{\beta\gamma'}, S_{\beta',\gamma}$ (resp. S) of $\mathcal{B}_{u''}^{\bar{Q}''}$ defined as in 3.1 (with G, u replaced by $\bar{Q}'', u''$)) give rise as in 1.5 to irreducible components of $\mathcal{B}_u$ with the same J_X which therefore must be equal to $X(024)$, $X(03)$, $X'(03)$ (resp. $X'' \in \{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{T}\}$); since $\chi(\mathbf{S}) = \chi(S) = 12$ and $\chi(\mathbf{T}) = 8$ (see 3.10(c), 3.19(b)), we must have $X'' = \mathbf{S}$. We set $Q'' = P^1 \cap P^5$ where $P^1 \in \mathcal{P}^1, P^5 \in \mathcal{P}^5$. Let $P^0 \neq \tilde{P}^0$ in $\mathcal{P}^0$, $P^2 \neq \tilde{P}^2$ in $\mathcal{P}^2$, $P^4 \neq \tilde{P}^4$ in $\mathcal{P}^4$, $P^3 \in \mathcal{P}^3$ (with $\mathcal{P}^i$ refering to G) be the inverse images under $\pi_{Q''}$ of the parabolic subgroups with the same names in $\bar{Q}''$.

From 3.1(a) we see that the following holds:

(a) *We have*

$$X(024) = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(1) = P^1, B(3) = P^3, B(5) = P^5\}$$

$$X(03) = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(1) = P^1, B(2) = P^2, B(4) = \tilde{P}^4, B(5) = P^5\},$$

$$X'(03) = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(1) = P^1, B(2) = \tilde{P}^2, B(4) = P^4, B(5) = P^5\},$$

$$\mathbf{S} \subset \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(1) = P^1, B(5) = P^5\}.$$

Since

$$X(024) = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(1) = Q^1, B(3) = Q^3, B(5) = Q^5\},$$

we see that $P^1 = Q^1$, $P^3 = Q^3$, $P^5 = Q^5$. Thus,

$$X(03) = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B(1) = Q^1, B(2) = P^2, B(4) = \tilde{P}^4, B(5) = Q^5\},$$

$$X'(03)=\{B\in\mathcal{B};B(1)=Q^1,B(2)=\tilde{P}^2,B(4)=P^4,B(5)=Q^5\}.$$

From 3.1(e) we deduce

(b) $X(024)\cap X(03)$ *is a* 0*-line;* $X(024)\cap X'(03)$ *is a* 0*-line.*

4.4. Now u is induced from a unipotent element ${}'u\in{}'\bar{Q}$ where ${}'Q\in\mathcal{P}_{01234}=\mathcal{P}^5$ and $({}'u,{}'\bar{Q})$ is like (u,G) in 3.15 with $\delta=1,\alpha=2,\beta=0,\gamma=4,\omega=3$; in particular we have $u\in{}'Q,{}'u=\pi_{'Q}(u)$. The irreducible components $\tilde{S}$, E of $\mathcal{B}_{'u}^{'\bar{Q}}$ defined in 3.15 (with G,u replaced by ${}'\bar{Q},{}'u$)) give rise as in 1.5 to the irreducible components $\mathbf{S}$ and $X(014)$ of $\mathcal{B}_u$. From 3.16(a),(b),(c) we deduce that there is a well defined $\hat{P}^2\in\mathcal{P}^2$ such that

$$X(014)=\{B\in\mathcal{B};B(2)=\hat{P}^2,B(3)=Q^3,B(5)={}'Q\},$$

$$\hat{P}^2\notin\{P^2,\tilde{P}^2\},$$

$$\mathbf{S}_1=\{B\in\mathbf{S};B(2)=\hat{P}^2.\}$$

Since we have also

$$X(014)=\{B\in\mathcal{B};B(2)=Q^2,B(3)=Q^3,B(5)=Q^5\},$$

we see that $\hat{P}^2=Q^2$, ${}'Q=Q^5$. Thus we have

$$Q^2\notin\{P^2,\tilde{P}^2\},$$

$$\mathbf{S}_1=\{B\in\mathbf{S};B(2)=Q^2.\}$$

4.5. Now u is induced from a unipotent element ${}''u\in{}''\bar{Q}$ where ${}''Q\in\mathcal{P}_{02345}=\mathcal{P}^1$ and $({}''u,{}''\bar{Q})$ is like (u,G) in 3.15 with $\delta=5,\alpha=4,\omega=3,\beta=2,\gamma=0$; in particular we have $u\in{}''Q,{}''u=\pi_{''Q}(u)$. The irreducible components $\tilde{S}$, E of $\mathcal{B}_{''u}^{''\bar{Q}}$ defined in 3.15 (with G,u replaced by ${}''\bar{Q},{}''u$)) give rise as in 1.5 to the irreducible components $\mathbf{S}$ and $X(025)$ of $\mathcal{B}_u$. From 3.16(a),(b),(c) we deduce as in 4.4 that:

$$Q^4\notin\{P^4,\tilde{P}^4\},$$

$$\mathbf{S}_5=\{B\in\mathbf{S};B(4)=Q^4.\}$$

4.6. We show:

(a) $X(135)\cap X(03)=\emptyset, X(135)\cap X'(03)=\emptyset$.

Recall that

$X(135)=\{B\in\mathcal{B};B(0)=Q^0,B(2)=Q^2,B(4)=Q^4\}$,

$X(03)=\{B\in\mathcal{B};B(1)=Q^1,B(2)=P^2,B(4)=\tilde{P}^4,B(5)=Q^5\}$,

$X'(03)=\{B\in\mathcal{B};B(1)=Q^1,B(2)=\tilde{P}^2,B(4)=P^4,B(5)=Q^5\}$.

If $B\in X(135)\cap X(03)$ then $B(2)=Q^2=P^2$. This contradicts $Q^2\neq P^2$. Similarly if $B\in X(135)\cap X'(03)$ then $B(2)=Q^2=\tilde{P}^2$. This contradicts $Q^2\neq\tilde{P}^2$. This proves (a).

Using $\mathbf{T}_{15}=\mathbf{T}\cap X(135)$ we see that (a) implies:

(b) $\mathbf{T}_{15}\cap X(03)=\emptyset,\mathbf{T}_{15}\cap X'(03)=\emptyset$.

4.7. We show:

(a) *The intersection of a* 0*-line in* $\mathcal{B}$ *with* $\mathbf{T}$ *is either a point or is empty.*

Assume that this intersection contains two distinct points B', B''. We have

$(B', B'') \in \mathcal{O}_{s_0}$.

Since $\mathbf{T} \subset Q^0$, we have $B' \in Q^0, B'' \in Q^0$. Since

$Q^0 \in \mathcal{P}_{12345}$,

we have $(B', B'') \in \mathcal{O}_w$ where $w \in W_{12345}$. This contradicts $w = s_0$; (a) is proved.

We show:

(b) *The intersection* $\mathbf{T} \cap X(024) \cap X(03)$ *is either a point or is empty. The intersection* $\mathbf{T} \cap X(024) \cap X'(03)$ *is either a point or is empty.*

This follows from (a) since $X(024) \cap X(03)$ is a 0-line and $X(024) \cap X'(03)$ is a 0-line, see 4.3(b).

4.8. We write $X(135) \cap X(024) = \{B_0\}$ as in 4.1(a). We show:

(a) $\mathbf{T}_{15} \cap \mathbf{T}_{24} \cap \mathbf{T}_0 \subset \{B_0\}$.

Let $B \in \mathbf{T}_{24} \cap \mathbf{T}_0$. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}^{024}$ be such that $B \subset P$. Since $B \in \mathbf{T}_0 \cap \mathbf{T}_2 \cap \mathbf{T}_4$, we see from 1.3(a) that $X = \mathcal{B}^P$ is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{B}_u$ and that $J_X = \{0, 2, 4\}$. Thus we have $X = X(024)$. We see that $B \in X(024)$. Thus $\mathbf{T}_{24} \cap \mathbf{T}_0 \subset X(024)$. Recall that $\mathbf{T}_{15} = X(135) \cap \mathbf{T}$. Thus $\mathbf{T}_{15} \subset X(135)$ and $\mathbf{T}_{15} \cap \mathbf{T}_{24} \cap \mathbf{T}_0 \subset X(024) \cap X(135) = \{B_0\}$; now (a) follows.

4.9. We show:

(a) *The morphism* $\vartheta : \mathbf{T}_{24} \to \mathbf{T}_{24} \cap \mathbf{T}_{15}$*, see 3.19, restricts to a morphism* $\vartheta_0 : \mathbf{T}_{24} \cap \mathbf{T}_0 \to \mathbf{T}_{24} \cap \mathbf{T}_{15} \cap \mathbf{T}_0$*. Moreover,* ϑ_0 *is a* $\mathbf{P}^1$*-bundle.*

Let $B \in \mathbf{T}_{24} \cap \mathbf{T}_0$ and let $B' = \vartheta(B) \in \mathbf{T}_{24} \cap \mathbf{T}_{15}$. As in the proof of 4.8(a) we have $B \in X(024)$. Recall that $(B, B') \in \mathcal{O}_w$ for some $w \in W_{24}$. Since $B \in X(024)$ it follows that $B' \in X(024)$. Thus $B' \in \mathbf{T}_{24} \cap \mathbf{T}_{15} \cap \mathbf{T}_0$. Thus, ϑ_0 is well defined. Conversely, let $B' \in \mathbf{T}_{24} \cap \mathbf{T}_{15} \cap \mathbf{T}_0$ and let $B \in \vartheta^{-1}(B')$. Again we have $B' \in X(024)$ and $(B, B') \in \mathcal{O}_w$ for some $w \in W_{24}$. It follows that $B \in X(024)$ so that $B \in \mathbf{T}_{24} \cap \mathbf{T}_0$. We see that ϑ_0 is a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle. This proves (a).

We show:

(b) *If* $B_0 \in \mathbf{T}$ *(hence* $B_0 \in \mathbf{T}_{24} \cap \mathbf{T}_{15} \cap \mathbf{T}_0$*) then* $\mathbf{T}_{24} \cap \mathbf{T}_0$ *is a projective line whose intersection with any* 3*-line in* $\mathbf{T}$ *has exactly one point or is empty. If* $B_0 \notin \mathbf{T}$*, then* $\mathbf{T}_{24} \cap \mathbf{T}_0 = \emptyset$*.*

Using (a), we see that it is enough to show the following statement.

If B, B' *are Borel subgroups in* $\mathbf{T}_{24} \cap \mathbf{T}_0$ *such that* B, B' *are on the same* 3*-line in* $\mathcal{B}$ *then* $B = B'$*.*

Since $\vartheta(B) = \vartheta(B') = B_0$, we have $(B, B_0) \in \mathcal{O}_w$, $(B', B_0) \in \mathcal{O}_{w'}$, for some w, w' in W_{24}. It follows that $(B, B') \in \mathcal{O}_y$ for some $y \in W_{24}$. Since B, B' are on the same 3-line we have also $(B, B') \in \mathcal{O}_z$ for some $z \in W_3$. This forces $y = z = 1$. This proves (b).

4.10. We identify $Y \subset S$ with a subvariety of $\mathbf{S}$. We show:

(a) *If* $B_0 \notin \mathbf{T}$ *then* $\mathbf{S} \cap \mathbf{T} = \emptyset$*. If* $B_0 \in \mathbf{T}$ *then* $\mathbf{S} \cap \mathbf{T}$ *is the union of all* 3*-lines which intersect* $\{B \in \mathbf{T}_{24} \cap T_0; \vartheta(B) = B_0\} \cap Y$*.*

For $K \subset I$ we denote by $w(K)$ an element of W_K. Let $B \in \mathbf{S} \cap \mathbf{T}$. The 3-line through B must intersect Y in a unique point B_1 and it must intersect $\mathbf{T}_{24}$ in a unique point B_2. Let $B_3 = \vartheta(B_2) \in \mathbf{T}_{24} \cap \mathbf{T}_{15} \subset X(135)$. We have $(B_3, B_0) \in \mathcal{O}_{w(135)}$. We have $Y \subset X(024)$, hence $(B_1, B_0) \in \mathcal{O}_{w(024)}$. We have $(B, B_1) \in \mathcal{O}_{w(3)}$, hence $(B, B_0) \in \mathcal{O}_{w(3)w(024)}$.

We have $(B, B_2) \in \mathcal{O}_{w'(3)}$, $(B_2, B_3) \in \mathcal{O}_{w(24)}$, $(B_3, B_0) \in \mathcal{O}_{w(135)}$. If $w(24) \neq 1$ it follows that $(B, B_0) \in \mathcal{O}_{w'(3)w(24)w(135)}$ hence $w'(3)w(24)w(135) = w(3)w(024)$ so that $w(135) = 1, w'(3) = w(3), w(024) = w(24)$ and $B_3 = B_0$, $B_1 = B_2 \in \mathbf{T}_{24} \cap Y$, $(B_1, B_0) \in \mathcal{O}_{w(24)}$. Since $B_3 \in \mathbf{T}$ and $B_3 = B_0$ we have also $B_0 \in \mathbf{T}$ (hence $B_0 \in \mathbf{T}_{24} \cap \mathbf{T}_{15} \cap \mathbf{T}_0$) and $B_1 \in \{B' \in \mathbf{T}_{24} \cap T_0; \vartheta(B) = B_0\} \cap Y$.

If $w_{24} = 1$ we must have $B_2 = B_3$, $(B_3, B_0) \in \mathcal{O}_{w_1(3)}$. Since $B_3 \in \mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{T}$ is a union of 3-lines it follows that $B_0 \in \mathbf{T}$. From $(B, B_2) \in \mathcal{O}_{w'(3)}, (B_2, B_0) \in \mathcal{O}_{w_1(3)}$ we obtain $(B, B_0) \in \mathcal{O}_{w_2(3)}$.

Now (a) follows (we have used 4.9(b)).

4.11. We show:

(a) *Assume that $B_0 \in \mathbf{T}$. Let $U = \{B \in \mathbf{T}_{24} \cap T_0; \vartheta(B) = B_0\}$ (a projective line). Then either $U \cap Y \cong \mathbf{P}^1$ or $U \cap Y$ consists of two points or $U \cap Y$ consists of one point.*

Recall that Y can be viewed as the variety

$$\{(z_0, z_0', z_2, z_2'), (y, y')) \in \mathbf{P}^3 \times \mathbf{P}^1; z_0 z_0' + z_2 z_2' = 0, z_2 y' + z_2' y = 0\}$$

or setting $z_0 = ac, z_0' = bd, z_2 = -ad, z_2' = bc$, as the variety

$$\{((a, b), (c, d), (y, y')) \in \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1; -ady' + bcy = 0\}.$$

Now U can be viewed as a subvariety of $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ of the form

$$\{((a, b), (c, d), (y, y')) \in \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1; c = m_1 a + m_2 b, d = m_1' a + m_2' b, y = y_0, y' = y_0\}$$

where $(y_0, y_0') \in \mathbf{P}^1$ is fixed and $(m_1, m_2, m_1', m_2') \in \mathbf{k}^4$ is fixed such that $m_1 m_2' - m_2 m_1' \neq 0$. Then $U \cap Y$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{((a, b), (c, d), (y, y')) \in \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1; \\
&- a(m_1' a + m_2' b) y_0' + b(m_1 a + m_2 b) y_0 = 0, y = y_0, y' = y_0\} \\
&\cong \{((a, b) \in \mathbf{P}^1; -a(m_1' a + m_2' b) y_0' + b(m_1 a + m_2 b) y_0 = 0\} \\
&= \{((a, b) \in \mathbf{P}^1; -m_1' y_0' a^2 + (-m_2' y_0' + m_1 y_0) ab + m_2 y_0 b^2 = 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that, if each of $-m_1' y_0', -m_2' y_0' + m_1 y_0, m_2 y_0$ is zero then $U \cap Y \cong \mathbf{P}^1$; otherwise, $U \cap Y$ consists of one or two points. This proves (a).

4.12. We set $\mathbf{S}^\diamond = \mathbf{S} - (\mathbf{S}_0 \cup \mathbf{S}_1 \cup \mathbf{S}_2 \cup \mathbf{S}_4 \cup \mathbf{S}_5)$. This is an open dense subset of $\mathbf{S}$. We have the following result.

Proposition 4.13. *We have* $\chi(\mathbf{S}^\diamond)=1$.

Under the isomorphism $S\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathbf{S}$, $\mathbf{S}_5$ (resp. $\mathbf{S}_1$) corresponds to a closed subsets R_5 (resp. R_1) of S and $\mathbf{S}^\diamond$ corresponds to the open dense subset $S^\diamond:=S-(S_0\cup R_1\cup S_2\cup S_4\cup R_5)$ of S. It is enough to prove that

$$\chi(S^\diamond)=1. \tag{a}$$

The proof is given in 4.14-4.16.

4.14. To prove that $\chi(S^\diamond)=1$ we can identify our S with $X\subset\mathcal{B}$ as in 3.12(c) in such a way that $S_0=X_\alpha, S_2=X_\beta, S_4=X_\gamma$ and, if

$A:V\to V$, $L_1,L_1',{}^!L_4,{}^!L_4',L_4^!,L_4'^!,I_2,K_6$
are as in 3.2, 3.4, then R_5 is identified with

$$\{(V_1,V_2,V_4,\tilde V_4)\in X;V_4=\hat V_4^!\},$$

R_1 is identified with

$$\{(V_1,V_2,V_4,\tilde V_4)\in X;\tilde V_4={}^!\hat V_4\},$$

where $\hat V_4^!$ is an isotropic 4-space in V in the same family as $L_4^!,L_4'^!$ but distinct from each of them and ${}^!\hat V_4^!$ is an isotropic 4-space in V in the same family as ${}^!L_4,{}^!L_4'$ but distinct from each of them; moreover we have $I_2\subset{}^!\hat V_4$, $I_2\subset\hat V_4^!$. Recall that $X_\alpha=X_\alpha^*\cup Y$, $X_\beta=X_\beta^*\cup Y$, $X_\gamma=X_\gamma^*\cup Y$, where

$$\begin{aligned}X_\alpha^*&=\{(V_1,V_2,V_4,\tilde V_4)\in\mathcal{B};V_1\subset I_2,V_4={}^!L_4,\tilde V_4=L_4'^!\}\\&\sqcup\{(V_1,V_2,V_4,\tilde V_4)\in\mathcal{B};V_1\subset I_2,V_4={}^!L_4',\tilde V_4=L_4^!\},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}X_\beta^*&=\{(V_1,V_2,V_4,\tilde V_4)\in\mathcal{B};I_2\subset V_4,V_1=L_1,\tilde V_4=L_4^!\}\\&\sqcup\{(V_1,V_2,V_4,\tilde V_4)\in\mathcal{B};I_2\subset V_4,V_1=L_1',\tilde V_4=L_4'^!\},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}X_\gamma^*&=\{(V_1,V_2,V_4,\tilde V_4)\in\mathcal{B};I_2\subset\tilde V_4,V_1=L_1,V_4={}^!L_4\}\\&\sqcup\{(V_1,V_2,V_4,\tilde V_4)\in\mathcal{B};I_2\subset\tilde V_4,V_1=L_1',V_4={}^!L_4'\},\end{aligned}$$

$$Y=\{(V_1,V_2,V_4,\tilde V_4)\in X;V_2=I_2\}.$$

4.15. As in 3.10 let Z be the variety of all pairs V_1,V_3 of isotropic subspaces of V of dimension 1 and 3 respectively such that $V_1\subset I_2\subset V_3$ and $AV_3\subset V_1$ and let $\mathfrak{U}$ be the space of isotropic 3-spaces in V that contain I_2 hence are contained in K_6; we have $\mathfrak{U}\cong\mathbf{P}^1\times\mathbf{P}^1$. From 3.10(d) we have $\chi(Z)=6$.

Let $Z_1=\{(V_1,V_3)\in Z;V_3\subset{}^!\hat V_4\}$, $Z_5=\{(V_1,V_3)\in Z;V_3\subset\hat V_4^!\}$. Let $\mathfrak{U}_1=\{V_3\in\mathfrak{U};V_3\subset{}^!\hat V_4\}$, $\mathfrak{U}_5=\{V_3\in\mathfrak{U};V_3\subset\hat V_4^!\}$. Note that $\mathfrak{U}_1\cong\mathbf{P}^1$, $\mathfrak{U}^5\cong\mathbf{P}^1$. By

the argument in the proof of 3.10(d), the map $Z \to \mathfrak{U}$, $(V_1, V_3) \mapsto V_3$, restricts to an isomorphism $Z_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{U}_1$ and to an isomorphism $Z_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{U}_2$ (note that $\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathfrak{U}_2$ do not contain the exceptional points ${}^!L_4 \cap L_4'^!$ and ${}^!L_4' \cap L_4^!$). We see that $Z_1 \cong \mathbf{P}^1$, $Z_5 \cong \mathbf{P}^1$. It follows that

$$\chi(Z_1) = \chi(Z_5) = 2.$$

We have $Z_1 \cap Z_5 = \{(V_1, V_3) \in Z; V_3 \subset {}^!\hat{V}_4 \cap \hat{V}_4^!\}$. Since $I_2 \subset {}^!\hat{V}_4 \cap \hat{V}_4^!$, the intersection ${}^!\hat{V}_4 \cap \hat{V}_4^!$ is a 3-dimensional isotropic subspace; we see that $Z_1 \cap Z_5$ consists of a single element (V_1, V_3) where $V_3 = {}^!\hat{V}_4 \cap \hat{V}_4^!$ and V_1 is uniquely determined by V_3. In particular,

$$\chi(Z_1 \cap Z_5) = 1.$$

We have

$$\chi(Z_1 \cup Z_5) = \chi(Z_1) + \chi(Z_5) - \chi(Z_1 \cap Z_5) = 2 + 2 - 1 = 3.$$

Let

$$Z_\alpha^* = \{(V_1, V_3) \in Z; V_1 \subset I_2, V_3 = {}^!L_4 \cap L_4'^!\} \sqcup \{(V_1, V_3) \in Z; V_1 \subset I_2, V_3 = {}^!L_4' \cap L_4^!\},$$

$$Z_\beta^* = \{(V_1, V_3) \in Z; V_1 = L_1, V_3 \subset L_4^!\} \sqcup \{(V_1, V_3) \in Z; V_1 = L_1', V_3 \subset L_4'^!\},$$

$$Z_\gamma^* = \{(V_1, V_3) \in Z; V_1 = L_1, V_3 \subset {}^!L_4\} \sqcup \{(V_1, V_3) \in Z; V_1 = L_1', V_3 \subset {}^!L_4'\},$$

We have

$$Z_\alpha^* \cap Z_\beta^* = \{(L_1, {}^!L_4' \cap L_4^!)\}, \sqcup \{(L_1', {}^!L_4 \cap L_4'^!)\},$$

$$Z_\alpha^* \cap Z_\gamma^* = \{(L_1, {}^!L_4 \cap L_4'^!)\} \sqcup \{(L_1', {}^!L_4' \cap L_4^!)\},$$

$$Z_\beta^* \cap Z_\gamma^* = \{(L_1, {}^!L_4 \cap L_4^!\} \sqcup \{(L_1', {}^!L_4' \cap L_4'^!\},$$

$$Z_\alpha^* \cap Z_\beta^* \cap Z_\gamma^* = \emptyset.$$

Thus, each of $Z_\alpha^*, Z_\beta^*, Z_\gamma^*$ is a disjoint union of two copies of $\mathbf{P}^1$; each of $Z_\alpha^* \cap Z_\beta^*$, $Z_\alpha^* \cap Z_\gamma^*$, $Z_\beta^* \cap Z_\gamma^*$ consists of two points. We see that

$$\chi(Z_\alpha^*) = \chi(, Z_\beta^*) = \chi(Z_\gamma^*) = 4,$$

$$\chi(Z_\alpha^* \cap Z_\beta^*) = \chi(Z_\alpha^* \cap Z_\gamma^*) = \chi(Z_\beta^* \cap Z_\gamma^*) = 2$$

.

$$\chi(Z_\alpha^* \cap Z_\beta^* \cap Z_\gamma^*) = 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi(Z_\alpha^* \cup Z_\beta^* \cup Z_\gamma^*) = \chi(Z_\alpha^*) + \chi(, Z_\beta^*) + \chi(Z_\gamma^*)\\ &- \chi(Z_\alpha^* \cap Z_\beta^*) - \chi(Z_\alpha^* \cap Z_\gamma^*) - \chi(Z_\beta^* \cap Z_\gamma^*) + \chi(Z_\alpha^* \cap Z_\beta^* \cap Z_\gamma^*)\\ &= 4 + 4 + 4 - 2 - 2 - 2 + 0 = 6.\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$Z_\alpha^* \cap Z_1 = \emptyset, Z_\gamma^* \cap Z_1 = \emptyset,$$

$$Z_\beta^* \cap Z_1 = \{(L_1', {}^!\hat{V}_4 \cap L_4'^!)\} \sqcup \{(L_1, {}^!\hat{V}_4 \cap L_4^!)\},$$

$$Z_\alpha^* \cap Z_5 = \emptyset, Z_\beta^* \cap Z_5 = \emptyset,$$

$$Z_\gamma^* = \{(L_1, {}^!L_4 \cap \hat{V}_4^!\} \sqcup \{(L_1', {}^!L_4' \cap \hat{V}_4^!\}.$$

Thus

$$(Z_\alpha^* \cup Z_\beta^* \cup Z_\gamma^*) \cap Z_1 = \{(L_1', {}^!\hat{V}_4 \cap L_4'^!)\} \sqcup \{(L_1, {}^!\hat{V}_4 \cap L_4^!)\},$$

$$(Z_\alpha^* \cup Z_\beta^* \cup Z_\gamma^*) \cap Z_5 = \{(L_1, {}^!L_4 \cap \hat{V}_4^!\} \sqcup \{(L_1', {}^!L_4' \cap \hat{V}_4^!\}.$$

Hence

$$(Z_\alpha^* \cup Z_\beta^* \cup Z_\gamma^*) \cap (Z_1 \cap Z_5) = \emptyset.$$

We have

$$\chi((Z_\alpha^* \cup Z_\beta^* \cup Z_\gamma^*) \cap Z_1) = \chi((Z_\alpha^* \cup Z_\beta^* \cup Z_\gamma^*) \cap Z_5) = 2,$$

$$\chi(Z_\alpha^* \cup Z_\beta^* \cup Z_\gamma^*) \cap (Z_1 \cap Z_5)) = 0$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi((Z_\alpha^* \cup Z_\beta^* \cup Z_\gamma^*) \cap (Z_1 \cup Z_5))\\ &= \chi((Z_\alpha^* \cup Z_\beta^* \cup Z_\gamma^*) \cap Z_1) + \chi((Z_\alpha^* \cup Z_\beta^* \cup Z_\gamma^*) \cap Z_5)\\ &- \chi(Z_\alpha^* \cup Z_\beta^* \cup Z_\gamma^*) \cap (Z_1 \cap Z_5)) = 2 + 2 - 0 = 4.\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi((Z_\alpha^* \cup Z_\beta^* \cup Z_\gamma^*) \cup (Z_1 \cup Z_5))\\ &= \chi(Z_\alpha^* \cup Z_\beta^* \cup Z_\gamma^*) + \chi(Z_1 \cup Z_5)\\ &- \chi((Z_\alpha^* \cup Z_\beta^* \cup Z_\gamma^*) \cap (Z_1 \cup Z_5)) = 6 + 3 - 4 = 5.\end{aligned}$$

4.16. We have a partition $Z = Z' \cup Z''$ where $Z' = Z_\alpha^* \cup Z_\beta^* \cup Z_\gamma^* \cup Z_1 \cup Z_5$ and $Z'' = Z - Z'$. Recall that $\zeta : (V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \mapsto (V_1, V_4 \cap \tilde{V}_4)$ makes X into a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle over Z and that

$$Y = \{(V_1, V_2, V_4, \tilde{V}_4) \in X; V_2 = I_2\}.$$

Recall that ζ restricts to an isomorphism $Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Z$. Hence setting $Y' = \zeta^{-1}(Z') \cap Y$, $Y'' = \zeta^{-1}(Z'') \cap Y$, we have a partition $Y = Y' \cup Y''$ and ζ restricts to isomorphisms $Y' \xrightarrow{\sim} Z'$, $Y'' \xrightarrow{\sim} Z''$. We have

$$\chi(Y'') = \chi(Z'') = \chi(Z) - \chi(Z') = 6 - 5 = 1.$$

We have

$$X^*_\alpha=\zeta^{-1}(Z^*_\alpha),\quad X^*_\beta=\zeta^{-1}(Z^*_\beta),\quad X^*_\gamma=\zeta^{-1}(Z^*_\gamma),$$
$$R_1=\zeta^{-1}(Z_1),\quad R_5=\zeta^{-1}(Z_5).$$

Hence

$$X^*_\alpha\cup X^*_\beta\cup X^*_\gamma\cup R_1\cup R_5=\zeta^{-1}(Z'),$$

so that

$$\chi(X^*_\alpha\cup X^*_\beta\cup X^*_\gamma\cup R_1\cup R_5)=\chi(\zeta^{-1}(Z'))=2\chi(Z')=10.$$

Recall from 3.1(c) that

$$X_\alpha\cup X_\beta\cup X_\gamma\cup R_1\cup R_5=X^*_\alpha\cup X^*_\beta\cup X^*_\gamma\cup R_1\cup R_5\cup Y$$

hence we have a partition

$$X_\alpha\cup X_\beta\cup X_\gamma\cup R_1\cup R_5=(X^*_\alpha\cup X^*_\beta\cup X^*_\gamma\cup R_1\cup R_5)\cup Y'',$$

so that

$$\chi(X_\alpha\cup X_\beta\cup X_\gamma\cup R_1\cup R_5)=\chi(X^*_\alpha\cup X^*_\beta\cup X^*_\gamma\cup R_1\cup R_5)+\chi(Y'')=10+1=11.$$

We have $\chi(X)=2\chi(Z)=12$ (see 3.10(c),(d)) hence

$$\chi(X-(X_\alpha\cup X_\beta\cup X_\gamma\cup R_1\cup R_5))=\chi(X)-\chi(X_\alpha\cup X_\beta\cup X_\gamma\cup R_1\cup R_5)=12-11=1.$$

This proves 4.13(a). Proposition 4.13 is proved.

4.17. We show:

(a) *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a 3-line in $\mathbf{T}$. Then either $\mathcal{L}\subset\mathbf{T}_0$ or $\mathcal{L}\cap\mathbf{T}_0$ is exactly one point.* Let $B\in\mathcal{L}$. Let $P\in\mathcal{P}_{03}$ be such that $B\subset P$. We have $u\in P$. Let $u'=\pi_P(u)$. Let $\bar{\mathcal{L}}=\{\pi_P(B');B'\in\mathcal{L}\}$. Note that $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ is a line contained in $\mathcal{B}^{\bar P}_{u'}$. It follows that u' is not regular unipotent in $\bar P$. Since $\bar P_{ad}$ is of type A_2, u' must be subregular or 1. If $u'=1$ then $\mathcal{B}^{\bar P}_{u'}=\mathcal{B}^{\bar P}$ so that for any $B'\in\mathcal{L}$ the 0-line through B' is contained in $\mathcal{B}^P$ hence is contained in $\mathcal{B}_u$; thus $\mathcal{L}\subset\mathbf{T}_0$. If u' is subregular then $\mathcal{B}^{\bar P}_{u'}$ is the union of two projective lines which intersect in a single point. We see that there is a unique $B'\in\mathcal{L}$ such that the 0-line through B' is contained in $\mathcal{B}_u$; thus $B'\in\mathbf{T}_0$. This proves (a).

4.18. We set $\mathbf{T}^\diamond=\mathbf{T}-(\mathbf{T}_0\cup\mathbf{T}_1\cup\mathbf{T}_2\cup\mathbf{T}_4\cup\mathbf{T}_5)$. This is an open dense subset of $\mathbf{T}$. We have the following result.

Proposition 4.19. *If $B_0 \notin \mathbf{T}$ then $\chi(\mathbf{T}^\diamond) = 0$. If $B_0 \in \mathbf{T}$ then $\chi(\mathbf{T}^\diamond) = 1$.*

Let $\mathfrak{V}$ be the variety of all 3-lines in $\mathbf{T}$. We have a partition $\mathfrak{V} = \mathfrak{V}_{15} \sqcup \hat{\mathfrak{V}} \sqcup \mathfrak{V}' \sqcup \mathfrak{V}''$ where

$\mathfrak{V}_{15}$ is the variety of all 3-lines $\mathcal{L}$ such that $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbf{T}_{15}$;

$\hat{\mathfrak{V}}$ is the variety of all 3-lines $\mathcal{L}$ such that $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbf{T} - \mathbf{T}_{15}$, $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbf{T}_0$;

$\mathfrak{V}'$ is the variety of all 3-lines $\mathcal{L}$ such that $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbf{T} - \mathbf{T}_{15}$, $\mathcal{L} \cap \mathbf{T}_0$ is exactly one point and that point is in $\mathbf{T}_{24}$;

$\mathfrak{V}''$ is the variety of all 3-lines $\mathcal{L}$ such that $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbf{T} - \mathbf{T}_{15}$, $\mathcal{L} \cap \mathbf{T}_0$ is exactly one point and that point is not in $\mathbf{T}_{24}$.

The fact that this partition is well defined follows from 3.19(a) and the fact that $\mathbf{T}_{15}$ is a union of 3-lines. Let $\xi : \mathbf{T} \to \mathfrak{V}$ be the map which associates to $B \in \mathbf{T}$ the 3-line containing B.

The inverse images of $\mathfrak{V}_{15}, \hat{\mathfrak{V}}, \mathfrak{V}', \mathfrak{V}'$ under ξ are denoted by $\mathbf{T}_{15}, \hat{\mathbf{T}}, \mathbf{T}', \mathbf{T}''$. (This agrees with our earlier definition of $\mathbf{T}_{15}$.) We have $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}_{15} \sqcup \hat{\mathbf{T}} \sqcup \mathbf{T}' \sqcup \mathbf{T}''$. We set $\mathbf{T}'^\diamond = \mathbf{T}' \cap \mathbf{T}^\diamond$, $\mathbf{T}''^\diamond = \mathbf{T}'' \cap \mathbf{T}^\diamond$. Clearly, we have $\mathbf{T}^\diamond = \mathbf{T}'^\diamond \sqcup \mathbf{T}''^\diamond$. Let $\mathbf{T}''^\diamond \to \mathfrak{V}''$ be the restriction of ξ. This is a fibration with each fibre being a projective line from which two points have been removed: one in $\mathbf{T}_{24}$ and one in $\mathbf{T}_0$. It follows that $\chi(\mathbf{T}''^\diamond) = 0$. Let $\mathbf{T}'^\diamond \to \mathfrak{V}'$ be the restriction of ξ. This is a fibration with each fibre being a projective line from which one point has been removed: the one in $\mathbf{T}_{24}$ (which is also in $\mathbf{T}_0$). It follows that $\chi(\mathbf{T}'^\diamond) = \chi(\mathfrak{V}')$. We have

$$\chi(\mathbf{T}^\diamond) = \chi(\mathbf{T}''^\diamond) + \chi(\mathbf{T}'^\diamond) = 0 + \chi(\mathfrak{V}') = \chi(\mathfrak{V}').$$

If $B_0 \notin \mathbf{T}$ then $\mathbf{T}_{24} \cap \mathbf{T}_0 = \emptyset$ (see 4.9(b)) hence $\mathfrak{V}' = \emptyset$ and $\chi(\mathbf{T}^\diamond) = \chi(\mathfrak{V}') = 0$. Now assume that $B_0 \in \mathbf{T}$. Then by 4.9(b), $\mathfrak{V}'$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{T}_{24} \cap \mathbf{T}_0 - \mathbf{T}_{24} \cap \mathbf{T}_{15} \cap \mathbf{T}_0$ and this is a projective line minus a point. Thus, in this case, $\chi(\mathbf{T}^\diamond) = \chi(\mathfrak{V}') = 1$.

4.20. We show:

(a) *If $B_0 \notin \mathbf{T}$ then $\mathbf{S} \cap \mathbf{T} = \emptyset$; hence $\mathbf{S}^\diamond \cap \mathbf{T}^\diamond = \emptyset$ and $\chi(\mathbf{S}^\diamond \cap \mathbf{T}^\diamond) = 0$.*

(b) *If $B_0 \in \mathbf{T} \cap \mathbf{S}$ then $\chi(\mathbf{S}^\diamond \cap \mathbf{T}^\diamond)$ is 1 or 0.*

(c) *If $B_0 \in \mathbf{T}, B_0 \notin \mathbf{S}$ then $\chi(\mathbf{S}^\diamond \cap \mathbf{T}^\diamond)$ is 2 or 1.*

Now (a) follows from 4.10(a). Next we assume that $B \in \mathbf{T}$. As in 4.11(a), let $U = \{B \in \mathbf{T}_{24} \cap T_0; \vartheta(B) = B_0\}$. We have a fibration $\mathbf{S}^\diamond \cap \mathbf{T}^\diamond \to (U \cap Y) - \{B_0\}$. This associates to B the intersection of the 3-line through B with $\mathbf{T}_{24}$, see 4.2(a); each fibre is a projective line with a point removed. It follows that $\chi(\mathbf{S}^\diamond \cap \mathbf{T}^\diamond) = \chi((U \cap Y) - \{B_0\})$. This equals $\chi(U \cap Y)$ if $B_0 \notin Y$ (that is if $B_0 \notin \mathbf{S}$) and it equals $\chi(U \cap Y) - 1$ if $B_0 \in Y$ (that is if $B_0 \in \mathbf{S}$). It remains to use 4.11(a).

4.21. We show:

(a) *If $B_0 \notin \mathbf{T}$ then $\chi(\mathbf{S}^\diamond \cup \mathbf{T}^\diamond) = 1$.*

(b) *If $B_0 \in \mathbf{T}$ then $\chi(\mathbf{S}^\diamond \cup \mathbf{T}^\diamond) \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.*

We have $\chi(\mathbf{S}^\diamond \cup \mathbf{T}^\diamond) = \chi(\mathbf{S}^\diamond) + \chi(\mathbf{T}^\diamond) - \chi(\mathbf{S}^\diamond \cap \mathbf{T}^\diamond)$. It remains to use 4.13, 4.19 and 4.20(a),(b),(c).

4.22. Let $\mathcal{B}_u^\diamond = \mathcal{B}_u - (\mathcal{B}_{u,0} \cup \mathcal{B}_{u,1} \cup \mathcal{B}_{u,2} \cup \mathcal{B}_{u,4} \cup \mathcal{B}_{u,5})$. We show:

(a) $\mathcal{B}_u^\diamond = \mathbf{S}^\diamond \cup \mathbf{T}^\diamond$.

The inclusion $\mathbf{S}^\diamond \cup \mathbf{T}^\diamond \subset \mathcal{B}_u^\diamond$ is obvious. Conversely, let $B \in \mathcal{B}_u^\diamond$. It is enough to show that $B \in \mathbf{S} \cup \mathbf{T}$. We have $B \in X$ for some $X \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}$. If X is $\mathbf{S}$ or $\mathbf{T}$, we are done. Thus we can assume that $X \notin \{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{T}\}$. We have $J_X \neq \{3\}$ and since $J_X \neq \emptyset$ we have $i \in J_X$ for some $i \in I - \{3\}$ and, in particular, $X \subset \mathcal{B}_{u,0} \cup \mathcal{B}_{u,1} \cup \mathcal{B}_{u,2} \cup \mathcal{B}_{u,4} \cup \mathcal{B}_{u,5}$. Since $B \in X$, we have $B \in \mathcal{B}_{u,0} \cup \mathcal{B}_{u,1} \cup \mathcal{B}_{u,2} \cup \mathcal{B}_{u,4} \cup \mathcal{B}_{u,5}$. This contradicts $B \in \mathcal{B}_u^\diamond$. This proves (a).

From (a) and 4.21(a),(b) we deduce

(b) *We have* $\chi(\mathcal{B}_u^\diamond) \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

4.23. Let $\mathcal{P}_u^{reg}$ be the set of all $P \in \mathcal{P}_{1245}$ such that $u \in P$ and $\pi_P(u)$ is a regular unipotent element of $\bar{P}$. We define a map $\phi : \mathcal{P}_u^{reg} \to \mathcal{B}_u$ by $P \mapsto B$ where B is the unique Borel subgroup such that $u \in B \subset P$. Let $\mathcal{B}_u^{reg} = \mathcal{B}_u - (\mathcal{B}_{u,1} \cup \mathcal{B}_{u,2} \cup \mathcal{B}_{u,4} \cup \mathcal{B}_{u,5})$. We show:

(a) *ϕ defines an isomorphism $\phi_0 : \mathcal{P}_u^{reg} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}_u^{reg}$.*

If $P, P' \in \mathcal{P}_u^{reg}$ and $\phi(P) = \phi(P') = B$ then P, P' are parabolic subgroups in $\mathcal{P}_{1245}$ containing B, hence $P = P'$. Assume now that $P \in \mathcal{P}_u^{reg}$ and let $B = \phi(P)$. For $i \in \{1, 2, 4, 5\}$ let P_i be the parabolic subgroup in $\mathcal{P}_i$ such that $B \subset P_i$. We have $P_i \subset P$ and $u \in U_B$. Since $\pi_P(u)$ is regular unipotent in $\bar{P}$ we have $\pi_P(u) \notin \pi_P(U_{P_i})$ that is, $u \notin U_{P_i}$; but this is the same as saying that $B \neq \mathcal{B}_{u,i}$. Thus, $\phi(P) \in \mathcal{B}_u^{reg}$. We see that ϕ restricts to an injective map $\phi_0 : \mathcal{P}_u^{reg} \to \mathcal{B}_u^{reg}$. Now let $B \in \mathcal{B}_u^{reg}$. Let P be the unique parabolic subgroup in $\mathcal{P}_{1245}$ such that $B \subset P$. We have $u \in P$. Again, for $i \in \{1, 2, 4, 5\}$, let P_i be the parabolic subgroup in $\mathcal{P}_i$ such that $B \subset P_i$. We have $P_i \subset P$ and $u \in U_B$. Since $B \notin \mathcal{B}_{u,i}$, we have $u \notin U_{P_i}$ hence $\pi_P(u) \notin \pi_P(U_{P_i})$. It follows that $\pi_P(u)$ is regular unipotent in $\bar{P}$. Thus ϕ_0 is surjective hence a bijection. We omit the proof of the fact that ϕ_0 is an isomorphism.

4.24. We show:

(a) *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a 0-line in $\mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{B}_u^{reg} \neq \emptyset$. If $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{B}_u$, then $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{B}_u^{reg}$. If $\mathcal{L} \not\subset \mathcal{B}_u$, then $\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{B}_u = \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{B}_u^{reg}$ is a single point.*

Assume first that $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{B}_u$. Let $B \in \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{B}_u^{reg}$. If $\mathcal{L}$ contains some $B' \neq B$ such that $B' \in \mathcal{B}_{u,i}$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, 4, 5\}$ then, applying 1.1(a) with B replaced by B' and $J = \{0, i\}$ we see that $\mathcal{B}^P \subset \mathcal{B}_u$ where $P \in \mathcal{P}_{0,i}$ contains B'. We have $B \in \mathcal{B}^P$ and the line of type i through B is contained in $\mathcal{B}^P$ hence in $\mathcal{B}_u$, so that $B \in \mathcal{B}_{u,i}$; this contradicts $B \in \mathcal{B}_u^{reg}$. We see that $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{B}_u^{reg}$.

Assume next that $\mathcal{L} \not\subset \mathcal{B}_u$. Clearly, the intersection of any 0-line with $\mathcal{B}_u$ is either empty, or $\mathcal{L}$, or a point. In our case $\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{B}_u$ is not $\mathcal{L}$ and is not empty hence it is a point. Hence $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{B}_u^{reg}$ is either empty or a point; by assumption it is nonempty hence it is a point. This proves (a).

4.25. From 4.24(a) we see that we have a partition $\mathcal{B}_u^{reg} = {}'\mathcal{B}_u^{reg} \cup {}''\mathcal{B}_u^{reg}$ where ${}''\mathcal{B}_u^{reg}$ is the union of the 0-lines contained in $\mathcal{B}_u^{reg}$ and ${}'\mathcal{B}_u^{reg}$ is the set of all

$B \in \mathcal{B}_u^{reg}$ such that the 0-line through B intersects $\mathcal{B}_u$ in exactly one point, B. Note that $'\mathcal{B}_u^{reg} = \mathcal{B}_u^{\diamond}$. Hence, using 4.22(b), we have

(a) $\chi('\mathcal{B}_u^{reg}) \in \{0,1,2\}$.

For any $P \in \mathcal{P}_{1245}$ we denote by Q_P the unique parabolic subgroup in $\mathcal{P}_{01245}$ such that $P \subset Q_P$; note that $(\overline{Q_P})_{ad} = \bar{P}_{ad} \times H_P$ (canonically) where $H_P \cong PGL_2(\mathbf{k})$ (we use that s_0 commutes with W_{1245}). Let $''\mathcal{P}_u^{reg}$ (resp. $'\mathcal{P}_u^{reg}$) be the set of all $P \in \mathcal{B}_u^{reg}$ such that the image of $u \in Q_P$ under the obvious composition $Q_P \to (\overline{Q_P})_{ad} \to H_P$ is $1 \in H_P$ (resp. a regular unipotent element of H_P).

From the definitions we see that under the isomorphism $\phi_0 : \mathcal{P}_u^{reg} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}_u^{reg}$ in 4.23(a), the subset $''\mathcal{P}_u^{reg}$ of $\mathcal{P}_u^{reg}$ corresponds to the subset $''\mathcal{B}_u^{reg}$ of $\mathcal{B}_u^{reg}$; hence $'\mathcal{P}_u^{reg} = \mathcal{P}_u^{reg} - ''\mathcal{P}_u^{reg}$ corresponds under ϕ_0 to $'\mathcal{B}_u^{reg} = \mathcal{B}_u^{reg} - ''\mathcal{B}_u^{reg}$. Using this and (a) we deduce

(b) $\chi('\mathcal{P}_u^{reg}) \in \{0,1,2\}$.

4.26. We now assume that G is simply connected and $p \neq 3$. Let $J = \{1,2,4,5\} \subset I$. We fix $P_J \in \mathcal{P}_J$. Let C be the regular unipotent conjugacy class of $\bar{P}_J$. Let $\mathcal{S}_0$ be an irreducible cuspidal $\bar{P}_J$-equivariant local system on C. Up to isomorphism there are two such local systems, one for each nontrivial character of the group (cyclic of order 3) of connected components of the centralizer in $\bar{P}_J$ of an element in C. We shall use the notation and results of 2.1, 2.2 for this $G, J, P_J, \mathcal{S}_0$.

In our case $\mathcal{W}$ in 2.1 is a Weyl group of type G_2 with simple reflections σ_0, σ_3. Now $\mathbf{X}_u$ and the local system $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ on it are defined as in 2.1 (with $g = u$). Recall from 2.1 that $H_c^j(\mathbf{X}_u, \hat{\mathcal{S}})$ is naturally a $\mathcal{W}$-module for $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. We have the following result.

$$\text{(a)} \qquad \sum_j (-1)^j \mathrm{tr}(\sigma_0, H_c^j(\mathbf{X}_u, \hat{\mathcal{S}})) \in \{0,1,2\}.$$

Let $J' = J \cup \{0\}$ and let $M_{J',g,r}, \pi'', \dot{\mathcal{S}}_r$ be as in 2.1, 2.2 with $g = u$, $i = 0$. We set $\pi''^{-1}(M_{J',u,r}) = \mathcal{M}_r$. From 2.2(b) we see that the left hand side of (a) is equal to $\chi(\mathcal{M}_r, \dot{\mathcal{S}}_r)$ From the definitions we see that there exists an unramified principal covering $\psi : \hat{\mathcal{M}}_r \to \mathcal{M}_r$ with group $\mathbf{Z}/3$ (with generator κ) and $\theta \in \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l - \{1\}$ with $\theta^3 = 1$ such that the stalk of $\dot{\mathcal{S}}_r$ at any $x \in \mathcal{M}_r$ is equal to the vector space of functions $f : \psi^{-1}(x) \to \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ such that $f(\kappa\tilde{x}) = \theta f(\tilde{x})$ for any $\tilde{x} \in \psi^{-1}(x)$. It follows that

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}_r, \dot{\mathcal{S}}_r) = \sum_{h=0}^{2} \sum_j (-1)^j \mathrm{tr}((\kappa^h)^*, H_c^j(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_r, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l))\theta^h/3,$$

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}_r) = \sum_{h=0}^{2} \sum_j (-1)^j \mathrm{tr}((\kappa^h)^*, H_c^j(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_r, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l))/3,$$

where $(\kappa^h)^*$ is induced by the action of κ^h on $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_r$. If $h \in \{1,2\}$ then $\kappa^h : \hat{\mathcal{M}}_r \to \hat{\mathcal{M}}_r$ has no fixed points and it has order 3. Since $p \neq 3$ we can apply the fixed point

formula in [DL, 3.2] to see that $\sum_j(-1)^j\mathrm{tr}((\kappa^h)^*, H^j_c(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_r, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)) = 0$ for $h \in \{1,2\}$. It follows that

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}_r, \dot{\mathcal{S}}_r) = \sum_j (-1)^j \dim H^j_c(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_r, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l))/3,$$

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}_r) = \sum_j (-1)^j \dim H^j_c(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_r, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l))/3,$$

so that

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}_r, \dot{\mathcal{S}}_r) = \chi(\mathcal{M}_r).$$

Thus, to prove (a), it is enough to show that

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}_r) \in \{0,1,2\}.$$

From the definitions we see that the map $\mathcal{M}_r \to {}'\mathcal{P}^{reg}_u$, $(xP_J, y(xU_{J'}x^{-1})) \mapsto xP_Jx^{-1}$, is a isomorphism. Hence it is enough to show that $\chi({}'\mathcal{P}^{reg}_u) \in \{0,1,2\}$. But this is known from 4.25(b). This completes the proof of (a).

5. The main result

5.1. In this section we assume that G is simply connected of type E_6 and that $p \neq 3$. We write $I = \{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$ as in 4.1. Let $J = \{1,2,4,5\} \subset I$ and let $P_J \in \mathcal{P}_J$. Let C be the regular unipotent class in $\bar{P}_J$. There are exactly two irreducible cuspidal $\bar{P}_J$-equivariant local systems on C; we fix one of them, say $\mathcal{S}_0$. Let $\mathcal{W} = N_W W_J/W_J$ where $N_W W_J$ is the normalizer of W_J in W. This is a finite Coxeter group of type G_2 with simple reflections σ_0, σ_3 defined as in 4.1. Now the block defined by $(P_J, C, \mathcal{S}_0)$ consists of six pairs $(C_h, \mathcal{E}_h)$, $h \in H := \{0,1,3,4,9,12\}$, where C_h is a unipotent class of G such that, for $u_h \in C_h$ we have $d_{u_h} = h$ and $\mathcal{E}_h$ is an irreducible G-equivariant local system on C_h, uniquely determined up to isomorphism by h. In [S2], $C_0, C_1, C_3, C_4, C_9, C_{12}$ are denoted by $E_6, E_6(a_1), A_5A_1, A_5, 2A_2A_1, 2A_2$ respectively. We shall assume that $u \in G$ (see 1.1) belongs to C_3.

Let $\mathrm{Irr}\mathcal{W}$ be the set of irreducible representations (up to isomorphism) of $\mathcal{W}$. We have $\mathrm{Irr}\mathcal{W} = \{1, s, \epsilon, \epsilon', \rho, \rho'\}$ where $1, s, \epsilon, \epsilon'$ are one-dimensional, ρ, ρ' are two-dimensional, 1 is the unit representation, and we have $\rho \otimes \rho = \rho' \otimes \rho' = 1 + \rho' + s$, $\rho \otimes \rho' = \epsilon + \epsilon' + \rho$; these properties distinguish ρ from ρ' and s from $1, \epsilon, \epsilon'$ but not ϵ from ϵ'. We can distinguish ϵ from ϵ' by the following requirements: σ_0 acts as -1 on ϵ and as 1 on ϵ'; σ_3 acts as -1 on ϵ' and as 1 on ϵ. We have also $\epsilon \otimes \epsilon = \epsilon' \otimes \epsilon' = s \otimes s = 1$, $\epsilon \otimes \epsilon' = s$, $\epsilon \otimes s = \epsilon'$, $\epsilon' \otimes s = \epsilon$, $\rho \otimes s = \rho$, $\rho' \otimes s = \rho'$, $\rho \otimes \epsilon = \rho \otimes \epsilon' = \rho'$, $\rho' \otimes \epsilon = \rho' \otimes \epsilon' = \rho$.

The generalized Springer correspondence for our block provides a bijection $\mathrm{Irr}\mathcal{W} \leftrightarrow \{(C_h, \mathcal{E}_h); h \in H\}$. For $h \in H$ let $E_h \in \mathrm{Irr}\mathcal{W}$ be corresponding to $(C_h, \mathcal{E}_h)$ under this bijection. According to [S2], either (a) or (b) below holds:

(a) $E_0 = 1$, $E_1 = \epsilon$, $E_3 = \rho$, $E_4 = \rho'$, $E_9 = \epsilon'$, $E_{12} = s$;

(b) $E_0 = 1$, $E_1 = \epsilon$, $E_3 = \rho'$, $E_4 = \rho$, $E_9 = \epsilon'$, $E_{12} = s$.

5.2. Let q be an indeterminate. For any representation E of $\mathcal{W}$ we set

$$\Gamma_E = (1/12)\sum_{w\in\mathcal{W}}(q^2-1)(q^6-1)\mathrm{tr}(w,E)/\det(q-w) \in \mathbf{N}[q].$$

We have

$$\Gamma_1 = q^6, \Gamma_\epsilon = \Gamma_{e'} = q^3, \Gamma_\rho = q+q^5, \Gamma_{\rho'} = q^2+q^4, \Gamma_s = 1.$$

. It follows that the matrix $(\Gamma_{E_h\otimes E_{h'}})_{(h,h')\in H\times H}$ is equal to

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
q^6 & q^3 & q+q^5 & q^2+q^4 & q^3 & 1\\
q^3 & q^6 & q^2+q^4 & q+q^5 & 1 & q^3\\
q+q^5 & q^2+q^4 & 1+q^2+q^4+q^6 & q+2q^3+q^5 & q^2+q^4 & q+q^5\\
q^2+q^4 & q+q^5 & q+2q^3+q^5 & 1+q^2+q^4+q^6 & q+q^5 & q^2+q^4\\
q^3 & 1 & q^2+q^4 & q+q^5 & q^6 & q^3\\
1 & q^3 & q+q^5 & q^2+q^4 & q^3 & q^6
\end{array}$$

if 5.1(a) holds and to

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
q^6 & q^3 & q^2+q^4 & q+q^5 & q^3 & 1\\
q^3 & q^6 & q+q^5 & q^2+q^4 & 1 & q^3\\
q^2+q^4 & q+q^5 & 1+q^2+q^4+q^6 & q+2q^3+q^5 & q+q^5 & q^2+q^4\\
q+q^5 & q^2+q^4 & q+2q^3+q^5 & 1+q^2+q^4+q^6 & q^2+q^4 & q+q^5\\
q^3 & 1 & q+q^5 & q^2+q^4 & q^6 & q^3\\
1 & q^3 & q^2+q^4 & q+q^5 & q^3 & q^6
\end{array}$$

if 5.1(b) holds. It follows that the matrix $M := (q^{-h-h'}\Gamma_{E_h\otimes E_{h'}})_{(h,h')\in H\times H}$ is equal to

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
q^6 & q^2 & q^{-2}+q^2 & q^{-2}+1 & q^{-6} & q^{-12}\\
q^2 & q^4 & q^{-2}+1 & q^{-4}+1 & q^{-10} & q^{-10}\\
q^{-2}+q^2 & q^{-2}+1 & q^{-6}+q^{-4}+q^{-2}+1 & q^{-6}+2q^{-4}+q^{-2} & q^{-10}+q^{-8} & q^{-14}+q^{-10}\\
q^{-2}+1 & q^{-4}+1 & q^{-6}+2q^{-4}+q^{-2} & q^{-8}+q^{-6}+q^{-4}+q^{-2} & q^{-12}+q^{-8} & q^{-14}+q^{-12}\\
q^{-6} & q^{-10} & q^{-10}+q^{-8} & q^{-12}+q^{-8} & q^{-12} & q^{-18}\\
q^{-12} & q^{-10} & q^{-14}+q^{-10} & q^{-14}+q^{-12} & q^{-18} & q^{-18}
\end{array}$$

if 5.1(a) holds and to

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
q^6 & q^2 & q^{-1}+q & q^{-3}+q & q^{-6} & q^{-12}\\
q^2 & q^4 & q^{-3}+q & q^{-3}+q^{-1} & q^{-10} & q^{-10}\\
q^{-1}+q & q^{-3}+q & q^{-6}+q^{-4}+q^{-2}+1 & q^{-6}+2q^{-4}+q^{-2} & q^{-11}+q^{-7} & q^{-13}+q^{-11}\\
q^{-3}+q & q^{-3}+q^{-1} & q^{-6}+2q^{-4}+q^{-2} & q^{-8}+q^{-6}+q^{-4}+q^{-2} & q^{-11}+q^{-9} & q^{-15}+q^{-11}\\
q^{-6} & q^{-10} & q^{-11}+q^{-7} & q^{-11}+q^{-9} & q^{-12} & q^{-18}\\
q^{-12} & q^{-10} & q^{-13}+q^{-11} & q^{-15}+q^{-11} & q^{-18} & q^{-18}
\end{array}$$

if 5.1(b) holds.

5.3. We can write uniquely $M = {}^t\Pi A\Pi$ where Π, A are matrices indexed by $H \times H$ such that A is diagonal and ${}^t\Pi$ is upper triangular with 1 on diagonal. More precisely A is equal to

$$\begin{matrix} q^{-2}(q^2-1)(q^6-1) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-4}(q^2-1)(q^6-1) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q^{-8}(q^2-1)(q^6-1) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-8}(q^6-1) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-18}(q^6-1) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-18} \end{matrix}$$

both in case 5.1(a) and in case 5.1(b); moreover ${}^t\Pi$ is equal to

$$\begin{matrix} 1 & 0 & q^2 & q^2 & q^6 & q^6 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & q^2 & 0 & q^8 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & q^4 & q^4+q^8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & q^4 & q^4+q^6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{matrix}$$

if 5.1(a) holds and to

$$\begin{matrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & q^3 & q^6 & q^6 \\ 0 & 1 & q & q & 0 & q^8 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & q^5 & q^5+q^7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & q^3 & q^3+q^7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{matrix}$$

if 5.1(b) holds.

Let $h \le h'$ in H; let $\Pi_{h',h}$ be the (h',h)-entry of Π or the (h,h')-entry of ${}^t\Pi$. We write $\Pi_{h',h} = \sum_{k\in\mathbf{N}} \Pi^k_{h',h} q^k \in \mathbf{N}[q]$ where $\Pi^k_{h',h} \in \mathbf{N}$.

Note that $C_{h'}$ is contained in the closure $\bar{C}_h$ of C_h. For $k' \in \mathbf{Z}$ let

$$\mathcal{H}^{k'} IC(\bar{C}_h, \mathcal{E}_h)|_{C_{h'}}$$

be the restriction to $C_{h'}$ of the k'-th cohomology sheaf of the intersection cohomology complex $IC(\bar{C}_{h'}, \mathcal{E}_{h'})$; this is a G-equivariant local system on C_h.

In the remainder of this subsection we assume that $p \notin \{2,3\}$. From [L2, 24.8] we have that

$$\mathcal{H}^{k'} IC(\bar{C}_h, \mathcal{E}_h)|_{C_{h'}} = 0$$

for k' odd and that for $k \in \mathbf{Z}$,

$\mathcal{H}^{2k} IC(\bar{C}_h, \mathcal{E}_h)|_{C_{h'}}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of $\Pi^k_{h',h}$ copies of $\mathcal{E}_{h'}$.

5.4. In this subsection we assume that $p \notin \{2,3\}$.

Recall from 2.1, 2.2 that

$$\mathbf{X}_u = \{xP_J \in G/P_J; x^{-1}gx \in P_J, \pi_J(x^{-1}ux) \in C\}$$

carries a local system $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ induced from $\mathcal{S}_0$ and that for any $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\mathcal{W}$ acts naturally on $H_c^j(\mathbf{X}_u, \hat{\mathcal{S}})$. (Note that $H_c^j(\mathbf{X}_u, \hat{\mathcal{S}}) = 0$ for odd j.) Let $m_{j,h}$ be the number of times the irreducible $\mathcal{W}$-module E_h appears in the $\mathcal{W}$-module $H_c^{2j}(\mathbf{X}_u, \hat{\mathcal{S}})$. Using [L1, 6.5], we see that for $h \le 3$ we have $\sum_j m_{j,h}q^j = \Pi_{3,h}q^h \in \mathbf{Z}[q]$. Using the tables in 5.3 we deduce that, as a $\mathcal{W}$-module, $H_c^6(\mathbf{X}_u, \hat{\mathcal{S}})$ is ρ if 5.1(a) holds and is ρ' if 5.1(b) holds; $H_c^4(\mathbf{X}_u, \hat{\mathcal{S}})$ is 1 if 5.1(a) holds and is ϵ if 5.1(b) holds; $H_c^j(\mathbf{X}_u, \hat{\mathcal{S}})$ is 0 if $j \ne \{4,6\}$. It follows that

(a) $\sum_j (-1)^j \mathrm{tr}(\sigma_0, H_c^j(\mathbf{X}_u, \hat{\mathcal{S}}))$ is equal to $\mathrm{tr}(\sigma_0, \rho) + 1 = 1$ if 5.1(a) holds and to $\mathrm{tr}(\sigma_0, \rho') - 1 = -1$ if 5.1(b) holds.

From 4.26 we see that $\sum_j (-1)^j \mathrm{tr}(\sigma_0, H_c^j(\mathbf{X}_u, \hat{\mathcal{S}})) = \chi('\mathcal{P}_u^{reg})$ where $'\mathcal{P}_u^{reg}$ is as in 4.25. Thus, $\chi('\mathcal{P}_u^{reg})$ is equal to 1 if 5.1(a) holds and to -1 if 5.1(b) holds. By 4.25(b) we have $\chi('\mathcal{P}_u^{reg}) \in \{0,1,2\}$. We deduce the following result in which we assume that $p \notin \{2,3\}$.

Theorem 5.5. *(a) Statement 5.1(a) holds.*

(b) We have $\chi('\mathcal{P}_u^{reg}) = 1$.

5.6. One can show that the statement of 5.5 remains true when $p = 2$. In this case the references to 4.26 and 4.25(b) can still be used. Although the statements at the end of 5.3 are not known in this case, the statement 5.4(a) remains valid in this case.

6. Final comments

6.1. In this and the next subsection we assume that G is simply connected/adjoint of type E_8 and that $p = 3$. We write $I = \{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$ where the numbering is chosen so that $s_1s_2, s_2s_3, s_3s_4, s_4s_5, s_5s_6, s_6s_7, s_0s_3$ have order 3. Let $J = \{0,1,2,3,4,5\} \subset I$ and let $P_J \in \mathcal{P}_J$. Let C be the regular unipotent class in $\bar{P}_J$. There are exactly two irreducible cuspidal $\bar{P}_J$-equivariant local systems on C; we fix one of them, say $\mathcal{S}_0$. Let $\mathcal{W} = N_W W_J/W_J$ where $N_W W_J$ is the normalizer of W_J in W. This is a finite Coxeter group of type G_2 with simple reflections σ_6, σ_7 defined as in 4.1. Now the block defined by $(P_J, C, \mathcal{S}_0)$ consists of six pairs $(C_h, \mathcal{E}_h)$, $h \in H := \{0,1,3,4,9,12\}$, where C_h is a unipotent class of G such that, for $u_h \in C_h$ we have $d_{u_h} = h$ and $\mathcal{E}_h$ is an irreducible G-equivariant local system on C_h, uniquely determined up to isomorphism by h. In [S2], $C_0, C_1, C_3, C_4, C_9, C_{12}$ are denoted by $E_8, E_8(a_1), E_7A_1, E_7, E_6A_1, E_6$ respectively. We shall assume that $u \in G$ (see 1.1) belongs to C_3. We identify the present $\mathcal{W}$ with the group denoted by $\mathcal{W}$ in 5.1 by requiring that σ_6, σ_7 correspond respectively to σ_3, σ_0 in 5.1. Note that s_7 commutes with W_J.

The generalized Springer correspondence for our block provides a bijection $\mathrm{Irr}\mathcal{W} \leftrightarrow \{(C_h, \mathcal{E}_h); h \in H\}$. For $h \in H$ let $E_h \in \mathrm{Irr}\mathcal{W}$ be corresponding to $(C_h, \mathcal{E}_h)$ under this bijection. According to [S2], either (a) or (b) below holds:

(a) $E_0 = 1$, $E_1 = \epsilon$, $E_3 = \rho$, $E_4 = \rho'$, $E_9 = \epsilon'$, $E_{12} = s$;

(b) $E_0 = 1$, $E_1 = \epsilon$, $E_3 = \rho'$, $E_4 = \rho$, $E_9 = \epsilon'$, $E_{12} = s$.

(Compare with 5.1(a),(b).)

Conjecture 6.2. *Statement 6.1(a) holds.*

Recall from 2.1, 2.2 that

$$\mathbf{X}_u = \{xP_J \in G/P_J; x^{-1}gx \in P_J, \pi_J(x^{-1}ux) \in C\}$$

carries a local system $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ induced from $\mathcal{S}_0$ and that for any $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\mathcal{W}$ acts naturally on $H^j_c(\mathbf{X}_u, \hat{\mathcal{S}})$. From [L2] one can deduce, using computations like those in 5.2, that

(a) $\sum_j (-1)^j \mathrm{tr}(\sigma_7, H^j_c(\mathbf{X}_u, \hat{\mathcal{S}}))$ is equal to $\mathrm{tr}(\sigma_7, \rho) + 1 = 1$ if 6.1(a) holds and to $\mathrm{tr}(\sigma_7, \rho') - 1 = -1$ if 6.1(b) holds.

Hence to prove the conjecture it is enough to show that

(b) $\sum_j (-1)^j \mathrm{tr}(\sigma_7, H^j_c(\mathbf{X}_u, \hat{\mathcal{S}})) \in \mathbf{N}$.

By arguments similar to those in 4.26 we see that the sum in (b) is equal to the Euler characteristic of

$$\mathcal{B}_u - (\mathcal{B}_{u,0} \cup \mathcal{B}_{u,1} \cup \mathcal{B}_{u,2} \cup \mathcal{B}_{u,3} \cup \mathcal{B}_{u,4} \cup \mathcal{B}_{u,5} \cup \mathcal{B}_{u,7})$$

with coefficient in a local system of rank 1 defined by $\mathcal{S}_0$. Hence it is enough to show that this Euler characteristic is in $\mathbf{N}$. It is not clear how to do this. One difficulty is that the argument in 4.26 (based on [DL]) is not applicable hence in the Euler characteristic above the local system cannot be replaced by $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$.

References

[DL] P.Deligne and G.Lusztig, *Representations of reductive groups over finite fields*, Ann.Math **103** (1976), 103-161.

[Ho] R.Hotta, *On Springer's representations*, J.Fac.Sci.Tokyo Univ. **28** (1981), 863-876.

[L1] G.Lusztig, *Intersection cohomology complexes on a reductive group*, Invent.Math. **75** (1984), 205-272.

[L2] G.Lusztig, *Character sheaves,V*, Adv.in Math. **61** (1987), 103-155.

[LS] G.Lusztig and N.Spaltenstein, *Induced unipotent classes*, J.London Math. Soc. **19** (1979), 41-52.

[S1] N.Spaltenstein, *On the fixed point set of a unipotent element on the variety of Borel subgroups*, Topology **16** (1977), 203-204.

[S2] N.Spaltenstein, *On the generalized Springer correspondence for exceptional groups*, Algebraic groups and related topics, Adv.Stud.Pure Math.6, North Holland and Kinokuniya, 1985, pp. 317-338.

[Sp] T.A.Springer, *Trigonometric sums, Green functions of finite groups and representations of Weyl groups*, Invent.Math. **44** (1978), 279-293.

Department of Mathematics, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA 02139